

AEC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT REPORT

ORNL-2198
C-84 - Reactors-Special
Features of Aircraft Reactors

MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS LIBRARIES



3 4456 0350437 6

4A

ANALYTICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES
OF THE TEMPERATURE STRUCTURE
WITHIN THE ART CORE

H. F. Poppendiek
N. D. Greene
L. D. Palmer
G. L. Muller
G. M. Winn

DECLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
BY AUTHORITY OF: AEC 11-24-59
11-11-60
P. [unclear]



**CENTRAL RESEARCH LIBRARY
DOCUMENT COLLECTION**

LIBRARY LOAN COPY

DO NOT TRANSFER TO ANOTHER PERSON

If you wish someone else to see this document,
send in name with document and the library will
arrange a loan.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
OPERATED BY
UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY
A Division of Union Carbide and Carbon Corporation



POST OFFICE BOX X • OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE

RESTRICTED DATA

This document contains Restricted Data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and its release is controlled by the Atomic Energy Commission.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission to the extent that such employee or contractor prepares, handles or distributes, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission.

ORNL-2198
C-84 - Reactors-Special
Features of Aircraft Reactors

This document consists of 106 pages.
Copy 4 of 272 copies. Series A.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

Reactor Experimental Engineering Division

ANALYTICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF THE TEMPERATURE STRUCTURE
WITHIN THE ART CORE

H. F. Poppendiek
N. D. Greene
L. D. Palmer
G. L. Muller
G. M. Winn

DATE ISSUED

JAN 31 1957

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Operated by
UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY
A Division of Union Carbide and Carbon Corporation
Post Office Box X
Oak Ridge, Tennessee

MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS LIBRARIES



3 4456 0350437 6

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 26. Biology Library
- 27. Health Physics Library
- 28. Central Research Library
- 29. Reactor Experimental Engineering Library
- 7-26. Laboratory Records Department
- 27. Laboratory Records, ORNL R.C.
- 28. A. M. Weisberg
- 29. L. B. Ehlert (Y-25)
- 30. J. P. Murray (Y-12)
- 31. J. A. Swarfoot
- 32. E. H. Taylor
- 33. E. D. Shipley
- 34. M. J. Nelson
- 35. S. C. Lind
- 36. F. L. Culler
- 37. A. H. Snell
- 38. A. Hollander
- 39. M. T. Keiley
- 40. K. Z. Morgan
- 41. J. H. Frye, Jr.
- 42. C. P. Keim
- 43. R. S. Livingston
- 44. A. S. Householder
- 45. C. S. Harrill
- 46. C. E. Winters
- 47. D. W. Cardwell
- 48. E. M. King
- 49. A. J. Miller
- 50. D. L. Cowan
- 51. R. A. Charpie
- 52. J. [unclear]
- 53. [unclear]
- 54. [unclear]
- 56. R. I. Gray
- 57. D. P. Gregory
- 58. G. L. Muller
- 59. R. D. Peak
- 60. J. C. Amos
- 61. W. B. Cottrell
- 62. S. J. Cromer
- 63. C. W. Cunningham
- 64. J. H. DeVan

- 65. W. K. Ergen
- 66. A. P. Fraas
- 67. W. T. Furgerson
- 68. A. G. Gindell
- 69. W. E. Jordan
- 70. M. E. Mackey
- 71. S. B. Bostis
- 72. J. [unclear]
- 73. F. Blankenship
- 74. R. [unclear]
- 75. K. [unclear]
- 76. W. [unclear]
- 77. W. [unclear]
- 78. G. [unclear]
- 79. D. [unclear]
- 80. J. [unclear]
- 81. L. [unclear]
- 82. J. [unclear]
- 83. J. [unclear]
- 84. D. [unclear]
- 85. E. P. Elizard
- 86. C. E. Clifford
- 87. L. M. Doney
- 88. L. B. Holland
- 89. S. E. Beal
- 90. R. B. Briggs
- 91. S. I. Cohen
- 92. C. M. Copenhaver
- 93. W. R. Call
- 94. W. R. Campbell
- 95. G. W. Greene
- 96. N. D. Greene
- 97. H. [unclear]
- 98. F. [unclear]
- 99. R. N. Lyon
- 100. L. D. Palmer
- 101. W. D. Powers
- 102. D. G. Thomas
- 103. J. L. Wantland
- 104. G. M. Winn
- 105. R. E. MacPherson
- 106. F. L. Magley
- 107. E. F. Mann
- 108. L. A. Mann
- 109. W. [unclear] McDonald

- 110. R. V. Meagher
 - 111. A. M. Ferris
 - 112. J. H. [redacted]
 - 113. D. J. [redacted]
 - 114. A. W. Savolainen
 - 115. R. D. Schultheiss
 - 116. W. L. Scott
 - 117. W. J. Stelzman
 - 118. D. B. Trauger
 - 119. G. D. Whitman
 - 120. M. M. Yarosh
 - 121. J. [redacted]
 - 122. M. S. Skipper
 - 123. A. D. Callahan
 - 124. C. W. Keilholtz
 - 125. O. Sisman
 - 126. L. G. Alexander
 - 127. E. J. Breeding
 - 128. W. F. Boudreau
 - 129. H. C. Claiborne
 - 130. D. A. Douglas
 - 131. D. A. Garrison
 - 132. J. J. Keyes
 - 133. R. B. Lindauer
 - 134. W. R. Osborn
 - 135. M. W. Rosenthal
 - 136. G. Samuels
 - 137. I. Spiewak
 - 138. A. Simon
 - 139. C. S. Walker
- 140-141. ORNL - Y-12 Technical Library,
Document Reference Section

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 142. AF Plant Representative, Baltimore
- 143. AF Plant Representative, Burbank
- 144. AF Plant Representative, Marietta
- 145-147. AF Plant Representative, Santa Monica
- 148-149. AF Plant Representative, Seattle
- 150. AF Plant Representative, Wood-Ridge
- 151. Air Materiel Area
- 152. Air Research and Development Command (RDGN)
- 153. Air Technical Intelligence Center
- 154. Allison Division
- 155-157. [redacted] Project Office, Fort Worth
- 158. Albuquerque Operations Office
- 159. Argonne National Laboratory
- 160. Army Forces Special Weapons Project, Sandia
- 161. Army Forces Special Weapons Project, Washington
- 162. Assistant Secretary of the Air Force, R&D
- 163-168. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington
- 169. [redacted] Memorial Institute
- 170-171. [redacted] Plant (WAPD)
- 172. Bureau of Aeronautics
- 173. Bureau of Aeronautics (Cdd. 4)
- 174. Bureau of Aeronautics, General Representative
- 175. Chicago Operations Office
- 176. Chicago Patent Group
- 177. Chief of Naval Research
- 178. Convair-General Dynamics Corporation
- 179. Engineer Research and Development Laboratories
- 180-183. General Electric Company (AMP)
- 184. Hartford Area Office
- 185. Headquarters, Air Force Special Weapons Center

186. Idaho Operations Office
187. Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory
188. Lockland Area Office
189. Lockheed Aircraft Corporation (R. G. Rowe)
190. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
191. National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Cleveland
192. National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington
193. Naval Air Development and Material Center
194. Naval Research Laboratory
195. New York Operations Office
196. North American Aviation, Inc. (Aerophysics Division)
197. North American Aviation, Inc. (Canoga Park)
198. Nuclear Development Corporation of America
199. Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (OP-361)
200. Patent Branch, Washington
- 201-220. Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division (Box Project) (1 copy ea. to G. E. Berntsen, C. C. Bigelow, A. I. Chalfant, W. Corliss, R. A. Cook, J. S. Farmer, H. C. Gray, M. Hoenig, C. E. Holtsinger, K. J. Kelley, J. W. Kelley, A. Y. Overman, B. A. Schmickrath, R. I. Stodola, R. N. Wallace, and T. A. Woods)
221. Sandia Corporation
222. School of Aviation Medicine
223. Sylvania Electric Products, Inc.
224. USAF Project Rand
225. University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore
- 226-245. Wright Air Development Center (WADC-3) (1 copy ea. to C. D. Gasser, A. L. Wood)
246. Technical Research Group, New York
247. Division of Research and Development, AEC, ORO
- 248-272. Technical Information Service Extension, Oak Ridge

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUMMARY	1
NOMENCLATURE	3
INTRODUCTION	8
MATHEMATICAL HEAT TRANSFER ANALYSES	12
Uncooled Core Wall Temperature Structure	12
A Cooling Analysis for Variable-Gap Channel (Idealized ART)	15
Radial Fuel Temperature Structure with Wall Cooling	22
Transient Temperature Analyses	24
EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM	30
Technique	30
Electrode Geometry	31
Flow Circuit	33
Half-Scale Core Model	33
Power Circuit and Instrumentation	42
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	49
Calibration	49
Operational Technique	50
MEAN AND TRANSIENT TEMPERATURE RESULTS	53
Swirl-Flow Case; Two Pumps	53
Vaned-Flow Case; Two Pumps	59
Swirl-Flow and Vaned-Flow Cases; One Pump	71
GENERAL COMPARISON OF HYDRODYNAMIC AND THERMAL FIELDS	73
CONCLUSIONS	77
ART Core	77
Reflector-Moderated Reactor Cores in General	79
New Core Configurations	80
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	81



	Page
APPENDICE	82
Electrolysis Research	82
Physical Properties of Electrolyte	85
Materials of Construction and Flow System Components	92
Calibrations	94
REFERENCES	99



SUMMARY

This report is concerned with a series of studies which describe the temperature structure within the core of the ART for several different entrance flow conditions. Both analytical and experimental techniques of analysis are used in the investigation. Mean and transient temperature fields are predicted on the basis of the mathematical behaviour of idealized cores; these results are compared with experimental temperature measurements obtained in a half-scale model of the ART core, within which the volume heat sources are generated electrically.

The heat transfer studies presented here reveal the following facts about the ART core:

- 1) Unless the core shell walls are cooled, maximum wall temperatures ranging from 1750°F to 1850°F (depending upon the type of entrance flow) will exist near the core exit. About three per cent of the heat generated within the core must be extracted to accomplish the cooling task.
- 2) Unless the sodium coolant flows through the cooling annuli in a uniform fashion, hot and cold spots will exist in the core shells.
- 3) Peak fuel temperatures at the core exit, under wall cooling conditions, are from 100 to 170°F higher than the mixed-mean fuel temperature (depending upon the type of entrance flow).
- 4) The temperature structure within the core is significantly asymmetric with respect to peripheral position when one pump is not in operation.

- 5) The core shell interface and fuel temperatures are transient in nature (frequency spectrum ranges from about 1/2 to 4 cycles per second).

It is suggested that a greater research effort is required to determine how seriously these temperature structures influence material strength and corrosion. Some of the general principles upon which circulating-fuel reactors should be designed from the standpoint of heat transfer and fluid flow are discussed. Several reactor cores other than the ART are reviewed.

NOMENCLATURE

Letters

A	cross sectional heat transfer area, ft^2
a	thermal diffusivity, ft^2/hr
a_f	fuel thermal diffusivity, ft^2/hr
a_I	Inconel thermal diffusivity, ft^2/hr
b_c	distance between coolant channel walls in Figure 3, ft
b_f	distance between fuel channel walls in Figure 3, ft
c_p	heat capacity, $\text{Btu}/\text{lb } ^\circ\text{F}$
c_{pc}	coolant heat capacity, $\text{Btu}/\text{lb } ^\circ\text{F}$
c_{pf}	fuel heat capacity, $\text{Btu}/\text{lb } ^\circ\text{F}$
c_{pI}	Inconel heat capacity, $\text{Btu}/\text{lb } ^\circ\text{F}$
f	frequency, cycles/sec
h_c	coolant heat transfer conductance or coefficient, $\text{Btu}/\text{hr ft}^2 ^\circ\text{F}$
h_f	fuel heat transfer conductance or coefficient, $\text{Btu}/\text{hr ft}^2 ^\circ\text{F}$
K_o	reciprocal of the thermal diffusion length, ft^{-1}
k	thermal conductivity, $\text{Btu}/\text{hr ft}^2 (^\circ\text{F}/\text{ft})$
k_{eddy}	sum of turbulent and molecular conductivity, $\text{Btu}/\text{hr ft}^2 (^\circ\text{F}/\text{ft})$
k_f	fuel thermal or eddy conductivity, $\text{Btu}/\text{hr ft}^2 (^\circ\text{F}/\text{ft})$
k_I	Inconel thermal conductivity, $\text{Btu}/\text{hr ft}^2 (^\circ\text{F}/\text{ft})$
k_w	channel wall thermal conductivity, $\text{Btu}/\text{hr ft}^2 (^\circ\text{F}/\text{ft})$
L	total axial length of channel or core, ft
m_c	coolant mass flow rate, lb/hr
m_f	fuel mass flow rate, lb/hr

P_t	total electrical power generated in the electrolyte in core, Megawatts
q	heat transfer rate, Btu/hr
q_{cooling}	channel or core cooling heat transfer rate, Btu/hr
q_{fuel}	heat generation rate within volume of fuel, Btu/hr
q_1	cooling heat transfer rate at interface 1 in Figure 3, Btu/hr
r	distance from channel center, ft
r_d	distance from channel center to where the reference temperature t_d is stipulated, ft
r_o	one-half distance between channel walls, ft
s	breadth of channel walls in Figure 3, ft
t	temperature, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_a	a uniform step function temperature distribution, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_c	mixed-mean coolant temperature, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_{ci}	mixed-mean coolant temperature at entrance of channel, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_c	fluid temperature at channel center, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_d	a reference temperature at radius r_d , $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_f	mixed-mean fuel temperature, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_{fi}	mixed-mean fuel temperature at entrance of channel, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
$t_i(y)$	initial temperature distribution, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
$t_{\text{interface}}$	fuel-Inconel interface temperature, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_m	mixed-mean fluid temperature, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_{mi}	mixed-mean electrolyte temperature at entrance of core model, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_{mo}	mixed-mean electrolyte temperature at exit of core model, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_o	uncooled channel or core wall temperature, $^{\circ}\text{F}$
t_1	fuel-wall interface temperature in Figure 3, $^{\circ}\text{F}$

t_2	coolant-wall interface temperature in Figure 3, °F
Δt_s	total temperature fluctuation, °F
$u(r)$	axial fluid velocity distribution, ft/hr
\bar{u}	mean fluid velocity, ft/hr
\bar{u}_s	mean vectorial fluid velocity, ft/hr
$W(r)$	radial volume-heat-source distribution, Btu/hr ft ³
\bar{W}	mean volume-heat-source, Btu/hr ft ³
\bar{W}_c	mean volume-heat-source of coolant, Btu/hr ft ³
W_c	volume-heat-source of fuel at channel center, Btu/hr ft ³
\bar{W}_f	mean volume-heat-source of fuel, Btu/hr ft ³
$W_f(y)$	radial volume-heat-source distribution in fuel, Btu/hr ft ³
$W_I(y)$	radial volume-heat-source distribution in Inconel wall, Btu/hr ft ³
\bar{W}_w	mean volume-heat-source of channel wall, Btu/hr ft ³
x	axial distance from core entrance, ft
y	y coordinate which is normal to the x coordinate, ft
y_I	thickness of Inconel wall, ft
γ	weight density, lb/ft ³
γ_f	fuel weight density, lb/ft ³
γ_I	Inconel weight density, lb/ft ³
δ	channel wall thickness, ft
$\epsilon(\bar{u}, r)$	eddy diffusivity, ft ² /hr
$\bar{\epsilon}_f$	a mean eddy diffusivity of a high velocity fuel eddy, ft ² /hr
θ	time, hr
ν	kinematic viscosity, ft ² /hr
ν_c	coolant kinematic viscosity, ft ² /hr
ν_f	fuel kinematic viscosity, ft ² /hr
σ	current density, amps/in ²

Terms

$$Nu_f = \frac{h_f 4r_o}{k_f}, \text{ Fuel Nusselt Modulus for channels}$$

$$Pr = \frac{\gamma_c v}{k}, \text{ Prandtl Modulus}$$

$$Pr_f = \frac{\gamma_f^c p_f v_f}{k_f}, \text{ Fuel Prandtl Modulus}$$

$$Re = \frac{\bar{u} 4r_o}{\nu}, \text{ Reynolds Modulus for channel}$$

$$Re_c = \frac{\bar{u}_c 2b_c}{\nu_c}, \text{ Coolant Reynolds Modulus for channel}$$

$$Re_s = \frac{\bar{u}_s 4r_o}{\nu}, \text{ Vectorial Reynolds Modulus for channel or core}$$

$$(Re_s)_f = \frac{\bar{u}_s^f 4r_o}{\nu_f}, \text{ Vectorial fuel Reynolds Modulus for channel or core}$$

$$T = (t_f - t_c)$$

$$T_o = (t_{fi} - t_{ci})$$

$$N = \frac{1}{\frac{m_f}{2} c_{pf}} - \frac{1}{m_c c_{pc}}$$

$$M'' = \frac{\bar{W}_f b_f s}{m_f c_{pf}} - \frac{\bar{W}_c b_c s}{m_c c_{pc}} - \frac{\bar{W}_w \delta s}{m_c c_{pc}}$$

U Overall heat transfer conductance or coefficient, Btu/hr ft² °F

$\frac{u_s}{u_{s_{max}}}$ Normalized vectorial velocity profile

$$\rho = \frac{r}{r_0}$$

$$\Delta t_w = \frac{\bar{W}_w \delta^2}{2k_w} + \frac{\bar{W}_w \delta}{h_c}$$

Δt_{VHS_c} The wall temperature rise above the mixed-mean fluid temperature that exists for the coolant with no wall heat flux, °F

Δt_{VHS_f} The wall temperature rise above the mixed-mean fluid temperature that exists for the fuel with no wall heat flux, °F

$$\left(\frac{\Delta t_{VHS_c}}{\frac{\bar{W}_w r_0^2}{k}} \right) \text{uniform } W(r)$$

Dimensionless wall-fluid temperature difference for a parallel plates system with a uniform volume heat source and no wall heat transfer

$$\left(\frac{\Delta t_{VHS_f}}{\frac{\bar{W}_f r_0^2}{k_f}} \right) \text{uniform } W_f$$

Dimensionless wall-fuel temperature difference for a parallel plates system with a uniform volume heat source and no wall heat transfer (reference 2)

$$\left(\frac{\Delta t_{VHS_f}}{\frac{\bar{W}_f r_0^2}{k_f}} \right) \text{nonuniform } W_f$$

Dimensionless wall-fuel temperature difference for a parallel plates system with a nonuniform volume heat source and no wall heat transfer (see section on Mathematical Heat Transfer Analyses)

$$\left(\frac{t_o - t_c}{\frac{\bar{W}_f r_0^2}{k_f}} \right) \text{parallel plates}$$

Dimensionless wall-fluid centerline temperature difference for a parallel plates system with no wall heat transfer

$$\left(\frac{t - t_m}{\frac{\bar{W}_f r_0^2}{k_f}} \right) \text{parallel plates}$$

Dimensionless fluid temperature above the mixed-mean for a parallel plates system

INTRODUCTION

During the period 1953-1954, the ANP Project made the decision to design and construct a 60-Megawatt circulating-fuel reactor of the reflector-moderated type which was named the ART (reference 1). The circulating fuel flows into a thick annular core whose flow cross-sectional area increases by a factor of four from the inlet to the equator, and then decreases by a factor of four from the equator to the core exit. A preliminary heat transfer analysis of the proposed core configuration was conducted at that time. It was shown that the ART core would have certain unique thermal characteristics which would perhaps be undesirable. These characteristics were identified as follows:

1. Large Radial Temperature Differences Within the Fuel

Mathematical temperature solutions for a simplified flow system revealed that significant radial fuel temperature differences would exist in the reactor core primarily because the volume heat sources within the fuel were high and the mean thickness of the fuel annulus was great (reference 2). The core shell wall temperatures were so high that a wall cooling system capable of extracting several per cent of the heat being generated within the core was required.

2. Asymmetric Temperature Core Shell Structure

From elementary fluid flow considerations, it became apparent that flow asymmetries could exist under certain circumstances in the fuel passing through the core or within the sodium flowing in the wall-cooling annuli that had been proposed (reference 3). Under

such circumstances, asymmetrical core shell temperature distributions would be established, giving rise to hot spots.

3. Transient Temperature Field

On the basis of fluid flow phenomena, it was believed that the four-to-one area expansion ratio in the northern hemisphere of the core would, in general, create unstable flow within the ART fuel annulus. The combination of a nonuniform radial temperature profile and an unstable velocity field would, of course, generate a transient temperature field that could initiate cyclic thermal stresses in core shell and heat exchanger tube walls.

One of the first steps taken in evaluating the above problems was to study the fluid flow in simple systems that in a sense approximated the actual ART fuel annulus. Nikuradse's classical experimental study of fluid flow in diverging and converging channels (reference 4) was used to describe the flow features in the northern and southern hemisphere of the ART core for the straight-through flow condition (reference 5). On the basis of Nikuradse's work, flow asymmetries and transients were predicted to be present in the core for this case. The investigation of fluid flow between curved channels by Wattendorf (reference 6) yielded fundamental information on velocity and eddy diffusivity distributions which was used to estimate the asymmetric hydrodynamic structure in the ART core for the case of superposed rotational flow (reference 7).

In 1954 the phosphorescent particle technique was first used to study the flow features in a quarter-scale model of an early version of the ART

core. For straight-through flow, large reverse-flow layers were found to exist on the outer core wall (reference 8); these were typical of the flow separations found by Nikuradse in large-angle diverging channels. When a significant rotational component was superposed on the axial flow, a reverse-flow layer next to the inner wall in the northern hemisphere was observed (reference 9). These flow visualization studies as well as a quantitative investigation of the velocity structure in the core (reference 10) also demonstrated that the flow was generally transient in nature because of hydrodynamic instability. These data substantiated the earlier belief that flow transients would exist in the ART core and supported the prediction that corresponding temperature transients would also be present. Detailed information on this hydrodynamic research, chiefly with quarter-scale models, is to be summarized in ORNL-2199.

Mathematical analyses of the temperature structure in an idealized ART core with sodium wall cooling were carried out (references 11 and 12). Determinations of cooling requirements and sodium flow rates were made. From these analyses maximum fuel-Inconel and sodium-Inconel interface temperatures at the core exit were calculated. The presence and magnitude of the high temperature peak within the fuel a short distance from the core walls was also described. Inconel-fuel interface temperature fluctuations in the ART core shell and heat exchanger tubes were considered. Estimates of interface temperature fluctuations under conditions of momentary flow stagnations and high-velocity flow instabilities were made.

In order to determine information about the asymmetries in the mean temperature structure within the ART core and wall and fluid temperature

fluctuations, it was decided to obtain experimentally the core wall and fluid temperature structures. Several types of entrance flow conditions were studied in a half-scale core model for the uncooled-wall case. The volume heat source was generated electrically within an electrolyte which circulated through the core model. The experimental mean and transient temperature fields determined in this system were generalized and compared with predicted temperature fields (references 13 and 14).

After the detailed analytical and experimental descriptions of the mean and transient ART core temperature structures presented here, the influence of the temperature field on structural integrity will be considered. Certain fundamental research on corrosion and material strength is suggested in view of these heat transfer studies.

MATHEMATICAL HEAT TRANSFER ANALYSES

1. Uncooled Core Wall Temperature Structure

It was determined from the ART hot critical experiment that, on the average, the volume heat source at the core wall was two times as large as at the centerline. Thus, a new radial temperature solution accounting for the source variation in a parallel plates system was derived. An earlier analysis (reference 2) only accounted for a uniform radial source distribution. The boundary value problem is defined by the following equations:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 u(r) \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left([a + \epsilon(\bar{u}, r)] \frac{\partial t}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{W(r)}{\gamma c_p} \\
 \frac{dq}{dA} (r = r_o) &= 0 \\
 t (r = r_d) &= t_d
 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

From the activity data obtained in the hot critical experiment by A. D. Callihan et al, it was determined that the averaged, radial power density distribution could be represented satisfactorily by a hyperbolic cosine function (see Figure 1). This power density function together with the generalized turbulent velocity profile was substituted into the above differential equation. The solution of this boundary value problem for established flow was obtained (reference 12), and it was found that the resulting uncooled-wall temperature above the mixed-mean fluid temperature was more than twice as great as the corresponding temperature difference in a uniform volume-heat-source system. The two temperature profiles in dimensionless form are shown plotted in Figure 2 for Re = 100,000 and Pr = 1 which are representative of ART conditions.




Fig. 1. Activity Data for ART Core and a Simplified Radial Volume - Heat - Source Distribution




Fig. 2. Dimensionless Radial Temperature Distributions in Parallel Plates Systems with No Wall Heat Transfer (Re=100,000 and Pr = 4)

2. A Cooling Analysis for a Variable-Gap Channel (Idealized ART)

A variable-gap channel representing the spiraling annular passage through which the fuel flows in the ART with the swirl-flow entrance was studied. The variable-gap channel was divided into a series of channels with parallel walls, having different wall spacings. The heat transfer analyses of these individual channels were performed as described previously in ORNL-1933. The idealized system shown in Figure 3 for each channel is defined as follows:

- a) Thermal and hydrodynamic patterns are established (long channels).
- b) Steady state exists.
- c) Volume heat sources exist in the fuel, wall, and coolant.
- d) Physical properties are invariant with temperature.
- e) The fuel channel is being cooled nonuniformly along its length by the coolant.
- f) The walls of the fuel channel are thin.

The three equations describing heat flow from the fuel to the coolant can be expressed as,

$$dq_1 = h_f dA (\Delta t_{VHS_f} + t_f - t_1) \quad (2)$$

$$dq_1 + \frac{\bar{W}_w \delta}{2} dA = \frac{k_w}{\delta} dA (t_1 - t_2) \quad (3)$$

$$dq_1 + \bar{W}_w \delta dA = h_c dA (t_2 - t_c - \Delta t_{VHS_c}) \quad (4)$$

From equations (2), (3), and (4) one can obtain,

$$dq_1 = U_s dx (t_f - t_c + \Delta t_{VHS_f} - \Delta t_{VHS_c} - \Delta t_w) \quad (5)$$





Fig. 3. An Element of the Variable-Gap Channel (Idealized ART)

The two additional equations arising when making a heat rate balance on the two fluid streams in a length dx are,

$$dq_1 = \frac{\bar{W}_f b_f dA}{2} - \frac{m_f c_{pf}}{2} \quad (6)$$

$$dq_1 + \bar{W}_w \delta dA = m_c c_{pc} dt_c - \bar{W}_c b_c dA \quad (7)$$

From equations (6) and (7) one can obtain,

$$dT = - N dq_1 + M'' dx \quad (8)$$

where,

$$T = t_f - t_c$$

$$N = \frac{1}{\frac{m_f c_{pf}}{2}} - \frac{1}{m_c c_{pc}}$$

$$M'' = \frac{\bar{W}_f b_f s}{m_f c_{pf}} - \frac{\bar{W}_c b_c s}{m_c c_{pc}} - \frac{\bar{W}_w \delta s}{m_c c_{pc}}$$

Upon substituting equation (5) into (8) and reducing, one obtains the solutions,

$$T + \Delta t_{VHS_f} - \Delta t_{VHS_c} - \Delta t_w = (T_o + \Delta t_{VHS_f} - \Delta t_{VHS_c} - \Delta t_w - \frac{M''}{NUs}) e^{-NUsx} + \frac{M''}{NUs} \quad (9)$$

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{N} (T_o + \Delta t_{VHS_f} - \Delta t_{VHS_c} - \Delta t_w - \frac{M''}{NUs}) (1 - e^{-NUsx}) + \frac{M''}{N} x \quad (10)$$

$$t_c - t_{ci} = \frac{q_1 + \bar{W}_w \delta sx + \bar{W}_c b_c sx}{m_c c_{pc}} \quad (11)$$

$$t_f - t_{fi} = \frac{\bar{W}_f b_f sx}{m_f c_{pf}} - \frac{q_1}{\frac{m_f c_{pf}}{2}} \quad (12)$$

$$t_1 = - \frac{U}{h_f} (T + \Delta t_{VHS_f} - \Delta t_{VHS_c} - \Delta t_w) + \Delta t_{VHS_f} + t_f \quad (13)$$

$$t_2 = t_1 - U \frac{\delta}{k} (T + \Delta t_{VHS_f} - \Delta t_{VHS_c} - \Delta t_w) - \frac{\bar{W} \delta^2}{2k} \quad (14)$$

The fuel, wall, and sodium temperatures within the ART core as well as the sodium cooling requirements and flow rates were determined with the mathematical relations developed above for the following specific conditions that define the ART core with the swirl-flow entrance.

Reactor fuel: Fluoride composition No. 30 with properties evaluated at 1425°F

Coolant: Sodium with properties evaluated at 1125°F

Wall material: Inconel

Fuel power densities: Radial profiles obtained from "ART hot critical" experiment (see Figure 1)

Inconel wall power density: A mean value of 54 watts/cc

Beryllium power density in vicinity of sodium annuli: A mean value of 16.5 watts/cc

Equivalent power density in sodium¹: A mean value of 52.8 watts/cc

Mixed-mean fuel temperature rise: About 350°F, depending upon the total reactor power and wall-cooling losses

-
1. Gamma and neutron heat generated in the beryllium reflectors is carried away by sodium flowing through cooling holes and annuli. The heat generated in the thin layers of beryllium (next to the annuli) flows into the sodium and hence raises its bulk temperature. In the analysis, this heat flow was simply treated as an equivalent volume-heat-source term in the sodium itself. Although this technique correctly accounts for all the sodium heat transfer, the beryllium-sodium interface temperature is not explicitly determined. However, a separate calculation of the beryllium-sodium interface temperature shows that it lies only about 4°F above the bulk sodium temperature.

$$m_f = 2.25 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/hr}$$

$$(Re_s)_f = 372,000 \text{ (footnote 2)}$$

$$Pr_f = 2.3$$

$$\bar{W}_f = 60 \text{ MW per reactor core volume (3.23 ft}^3\text{)}$$

$$Nu_f = 1020$$

$$m_c = 0.102 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/hr}$$

$$Re_c = 125,000$$

From the fuel Reynolds and Prandtl numbers given above, the following uncooled-wall temperatures above the mixed-mean fuel temperatures were determined:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta t_{VHS_f}}{\frac{\bar{W}_f r_o^2}{k_f}} \right)_{\text{uniform } W_f} = 4.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (reference 2)}$$

$$\left(\frac{\Delta t_{VHS_f}}{\frac{\bar{W}_f r_o^2}{k_f}} \right)_{\text{nonuniform } W_f} = (2.26) (4.5 \times 10^{-5}) = 10.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ (footnote 3)}$$

-
2. A mean vector Reynolds number for the fuel was used in the analysis because the maximum variation of the local vector Reynolds number was only ± 12 per cent.
 3. The analysis of the radial temperature structure in the ART fuel under actual nonuniform radial power density conditions described above indicated that the dimensionless radial temperature difference for the nonuniform power density case was 2.26 times greater than the corresponding temperature difference for a uniform radial power density system. An entrance length analysis which is discussed in a later section of this report showed that the thermal and hydrodynamic flow layers are established by the time the fuel arrives at the exit of the idealized core. However, the whole northern hemisphere lies in the entrance region. The fact that both the local Nusselt number and Δt_{VHS} functions vary in compensating fashions in this entrance region validates the analysis there.

The calculated temperature distributions in the fuel, wall, and sodium coolant streams are shown plotted in Figure 4. The sodium flow rates and cooling requirements in the variable-gap channel system pertained to a passage having identical wall areas from which heat was transferred to the coolant streams. In the actual ART annulus system, the inner and outer wall areas are not identical; thus, the results of the variable-gap channel analysis were apportioned to account for the fact that the ART outer wall area was larger than the inner wall area. The flow rates and cooling requirements so obtained follow:

flow rate in inner sodium annulus: 0.071×10^6 lb/hr

flow rate in outer sodium annulus: 0.133×10^6 lb/hr

total cooling power capacity of sodium stream in inner annulus: 0.89 MW

total cooling power capacity of sodium stream in outer annulus: 1.65 MW

total cooling power capacity of both sodium streams: 2.54 MW




Fig. 4. Temperature Structure Within Idealized ART Core.

3. Radial Fuel Temperature Structure with Wall Cooling

In order to illustrate the nonuniformity of the radial fuel temperature profile at the exit of the reactor core, the following analysis is presented. The radial fuel temperature profile for the uncooled wall case, under the representative ART conditions of $Re = 100,000$ and $Pr = 4$, is graphed in Figure 5. In order to maintain the Inconel-fuel interface temperature at the core outlet at approximately $50^{\circ}F$ below the mixed-mean fuel temperature, about 3 per cent of the heat generated in the core must be removed by the wall coolant. The radial fuel temperature profile for this case was obtained by the superposition process described in reference 11 and is graphed in Figure 5 for purposes of comparison. Note that the peak fuel temperature above the mixed-mean fuel temperature in the wall-cooled case was still 62 per cent of the corresponding peak temperature difference for the uncooled wall case.

An analysis of the degree of decay of the fuel temperature peak, for the wall-cooled case, in the short passage between the core exit and heat exchanger entrance will be discussed in a following section of this report.




Fig. 5. Radial Fuel Temperature Distributions in a Parallel Plates System with and without Wall Cooling

4. Transient Temperature Analyses

The hydrodynamic studies conducted with the quarter scale ART core models revealed that, under unstable flow conditions, a series of different types of flow phenomena existed in the vicinity of the core walls; high velocity layers, stagnant layers, and reverse flow or separation layers could be identified at different moments during the complicated turbulent history. Two specific transient processes will be considered; namely, the cases of a momentary flow stagnation and a high velocity eddy for an Inconel-fluoride system will be analyzed.

a. Momentary Flow Stagnation

Postulate that, at time equal to zero, the fuel contiguous to the Inconel wall remains perfectly stagnant for a short period of time (perhaps several tenths of a second). The problem is to determine the transient temperature structure in the Inconel wall, in the adjacent fuel, and at the interface between the two. The equations which define this boundary value problem follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial t}{\partial \theta} &= a_I \frac{\partial^2 t}{\partial y^2} + \frac{W_I(y)}{\gamma_I^c p_I}, \quad 0 < y < y_I \quad (\text{Inconel wall}) \\ \frac{\partial t}{\partial \theta} &= a_f \frac{\partial^2 t}{\partial y^2} + \frac{W_f(y)}{\gamma_f^c p_f}, \quad y > y_I \quad (\text{Fuel}) \\ t(\theta = 0, y) &= t_i(y) \\ -k_I \frac{\partial t}{\partial y}(\theta, y = 0) &= h_c [t(\theta, y = 0) - t_c] \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

An analytical solution of this set of equations would be laborious⁴ and was actually not required for this specific study; thus, a solution was obtained very quickly by the graphical technique. A 0.2 second flow stagnation in the fuel with a volume heat source of 2 kw/cc created a 208°F temperature rise within the fuel at a sufficient distance from the wall where heat conduction was no longer important. However, the Inconel-fuel interface only increased by 48°F during the same time interval because of the transient conduction of heat into the Inconel. Thus, in the case of flow stagnation in an Inconel-fuel system, the wall-fuel interface fluctuation is only about one-quarter of the value occurring in the fuel at some distance from the wall.

b. High-Velocity Eddy

Consider the case of a momentary high-velocity eddy which flows past the core shell wall in the vicinity of the equator where the influence of the divergent flow exists. The problem is to estimate the transient temperature structure in the Inconel and fuel for such a case. The mean boundary layer thicknesses and turbulent conductivities for the swirl-flow case were obtained from the fundamental velocity data for simple ducts and converging and diverging channels. Some of the results are shown in Table 1.

4. A mathematical solution to a slightly simplified boundary value problem was derived, however, which could be used to closely approximate the transient temperature behaviour of this system.

TABLE

<u>Region</u>	<u>Thermal or Eddy Conductivity⁵, Btu/hr ft² (°F/ft)</u>
Inconel Shell	13
Laminar Sublayer (0 < y < 0.001)	1.5
Buffer Layer (0.001" < y < 0.0058")	12.8 (a mean value)
Turbulent Core	
y = 0.0058"	56
y = 0.182" (1/10 of mean radius)	1550
y = 0.912" (1/2 of mean radius)	4270

5. The eddy conductivity of a fluid is the sum of the molecular and turbulent terms, $k_{\text{eddy}} = k(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\nu} Pr)$.

On the basis of the hydrodynamic research conducted in quarter-scale models of the ART, it is believed that the sudden high-velocity fluctuations observed near the core boundaries were due to momentary high-velocity eddies which greatly increase radial momentum and heat transfer during their existence. The following boundary value problem represents an idealized description of the transient temperature structure during this event.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial t}{\partial \theta} &= a_I \frac{\partial^2 t}{\partial y^2} \\ t(y,0) &= 0 \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} t(y,\theta) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} y < 0$$

(16)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial t}{\partial \theta} &= \epsilon_f \frac{\partial^2 t}{\partial y^2} \\ t(y,0) &= t_a \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} t(y,\theta) &= t_a \end{aligned} \right\} y > 0$$

It is assumed that the high-velocity eddy suddenly presents the Inconel and thin laminar sublayer and buffer layer with a new slab of fuel having a new higher or lower uniform temperature above the initial datum, t_a . This new slab also has a higher eddy conductivity representative of a region a short distance from the wall where the eddy originated. The source terms in both the Inconel and fuel have been neglected here.

A layer of Inconel having the same combined thermal resistance and capacitance as the thin laminar sublayer and buffer layers was added to the Inconel wall.

The solution to this boundary value problem is prosaic and can be found readily in the literature (reference 15). The ratio of the actual Inconel-fuel interface temperature⁶ to the uniform fuel step function temperature, $t_{\text{interface}}/t_a$, was evaluated for several different eddy sizes. A typical one, which was defined by an eddy coming from 0.18 inch from the wall (a mean k_f equal to sixty times the value for Inconel) was $t_{\text{interface}}/t_a = 0.79$ in 0.2 second.

The high velocity eddy analysis presented above is based on a conservative system. From photomicrographs of the surface of Inconel exposed to fuel, it was observed that the surface roughness was of the same size as the thickness of the calculated laminar sublayer. Many hydrodynamicists believe that if this is the case, then there would be no laminar sublayer. Further, the layer thickness used in the above analysis was calculated for the average flow condition; actually, it would be thinner because of the momentary higher local velocities. The thermal resistance and capacitance of the laminar sublayer as well as the buffer layer were so small that the calculated ratio, $t_{\text{interface}}/t_a$, given above would only increase 12 per cent if these two layers were neglected. It is also pointed out that in the analysis it was postulated

6. The actual Inconel-fuel interface was located at $y = - 0.009$ inch; the 9 mil layer represented the equivalent fuel laminar sublayer and buffer layer described above.

that a slab of fuel was suddenly exposed to the boundary layers and the Inconel; however, the high-velocity eddy would continually supply new fuel, thus further raising the temperature ratio calculated above. Finally, calculations have been made which show that the thermal conductivity of a layer of Inconel, penetrated uniformly with subsurface corrosion voids, can suffer a significant reduction. For example, the reduction in conductivity of a uniformly pitted layer having a void volume of 30 per cent would be 38 per cent.

The above calculations indicate that high velocity eddies of the type pictured here can transfer heat so effectively that Inconel-fuel interface temperature fluctuations are not drastically reduced below the temperature fluctuations in the fuel.

EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM

1. Technique

In order to determine a more detailed description of the mean and transient temperature structure within the ART core, it was decided to perform a heat transfer experiment in a half-scale model of the core. The most effective way of generating heat within the volume of an aqueous solution⁷ flowing through the model was found to be the "resistance heating" technique, using alternating current. This method was found to possess the following advantages:

- a) High specific powers are attainable.
- b) Fluid and surface temperature measurements can readily be made.
- c) Proper electrode spacing and voltage regulation yield control of the power density distribution.
- d) Power densities can be accurately determined from voltage and current measurements.

In order to obtain sufficiently large temperature differences within the electrolyte flowing through the core, intense volume heat sources had to be generated. It was observed, however, if the alternating current densities at the electrodes of the system were in excess of a certain value, then undesirable hydrogen and oxygen liberation would occur at the electrode surfaces. Hence, it was necessary to so adjust the electrical

7. Other methods of generating volume heat sources were studied, but were not found to be readily applicable; they were induction, dielectric, ultrasonic, and radiant heating.

resistivity of the electrolyte, by varying the specific gravity, so that a maximum current density just below the critical value for gas generation was obtained. It was also found that platinum electrodes made it possible to obtain higher current densities. Further details on electrolysis research can be found in Appendix 1.

2. Electrode Geometry

It was found essentially impossible to create an electric flux field within the electrolyte flowing through the core which would generate a volume heat source that would peak sharply at the core wall as previously shown in Figure 1. It was possible, however, to create an electric flux field which was nearly uniform throughout the whole core. It is possible to transform the experimental temperature profiles obtained in the uniform power density case to those corresponding to the nonuniform power density case (ART) with the aid of the mathematical temperature solutions carried out previously for these two systems.

The electrode arrangement used in the experiment is shown in Figure 6. It can be shown that if the axial potential gradient is a uniform value throughout a conducting system (linear voltage drop), a uniform volume heat source will be generated. The electrode arrangement in Figure 6 yielded a potential field that had an axial potential gradient that was within ± 6 per cent of being uniform (excluding the extreme ends of the potential field); this means that the volume heat source was within ± 12 per cent of being uniform. The potential difference of each electrode set was adjusted such that the potential drop between adjacent electrodes remained constant.




Fig. 6. Electrode Arrangement, Voltages, and Potential Field for Half-Scale Core.

A dilute solution of sulfuric acid (1% by weight) was used for the circulating electrolyte. Some of the physical properties of this solution are presented in Appendix 2.

3. Flow Circuit

A perspective drawing of the ART volume-heat-source system is shown in Figure 7. The components consist of an electrolyte reservoir, two centrifugal pumps, flow-control valves, and orifice meter, the half-scale core model, and a water-cooled heat exchanger. The entire flow system was enclosed by plywood and Plexiglas walls in order to protect the operators from sulfuric acid in the event of a system leak. The electrolyte was pumped from the reservoir to the test section (where heat was generated within its volume) to the heat exchanger (where it was cooled) and finally back to the reservoir. Information on materials of construction and flow system components, excluding the core model which will be described next, can be found in Appendix 3.

4. Half-Scale Core Model

Figure 8 shows a cross-sectional view of the core model including entrance and exit sections. A transparent Plexiglas pipe was located at the core entrance so that the presence of entrained gas in the electrolyte could be observed. A mixing chamber used to obtain a mixed-mean fluid temperature was also located in this pipe. The two flow control valves located above the pump volutes were used to simulate single and dual ART pump operation. A view of the pump volutes and the core entrance region is shown in Figure 9. The flow either spiraled through the core unguided (swirl-flow entrance) or was guided by a set of turning vanes (vaned-flow




Fig 7. ART Volume-Heat-Source Experimental System





Fig. 8. Cross-Section of Half-Scale ART Core Model Including Entrance and Exit Section.

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO 26251



-36-

Fig. 9. Top View of Pump Volute and Core Entrance.

entrance). The turning vanes in the core entrance are shown in Figure 10.

Wall temperatures within the core model were determined by forty No. 36 gauge copper-constantan thermocouples, located about 0.030 inch below the plastic surface, and twenty-four No. 30 gauge platinum-platinum + 10% rhodium thermocouples which were honed flush with the wall surfaces. In all cases, the junctions were of the butt type, and the couples were positioned in a plane parallel to the wall-fluid interface. Figure 11 shows a view looking down into the core from the entrance. This photograph was made after the first two sets of runs were completed. The simulated bellows on the island is observed in the center, and around it are seen the dark platinum electrode rings in the outer wall of the core. Several copper-constantan thermocouples may be seen through their transparent Araldite resin coverings. The copper-constantan thermocouples were spaced at five axial stations; four were located 90° apart at each station on the inner and outer walls. The platinum-platinum + 10% rhodium thermocouples were spaced at four axial stations; three were located 120° apart at each station on the inner and outer walls. Table 2 gives the couple positions.

Platinum tubes, $1/16$ inch in diameter, with platinum + 10% rhodium wires inside joined at the tips were fashioned into thermocouple probes whose junctions were positioned in the flow stream $1/8$ inch below the exit plane (see Figure 12). The probe tips were rotated 45° from the vertical so that they faced in the general direction of the rotating flow from the exit of the core.

An exit section similar to the ART exit can be seen in Figure 8; a mixing chamber to measure the exit mixed-mean fluid temperature is also




Fig. 10. Pump Volute and Core Entrance Region with Top Removed. Mounted on Core Test Section with Guide Vanes in Entrance.

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO 26255





Fig. 11. View of Inside of Core Through Entrance.

TABLE 2

<u>Distance from Core Midplane (inches)</u>	<u>Copper-Constantan Thermocouples</u>	<u>Platinum-Platinum + 10% Rhodium Thermocouples</u>
7.500	X	
6.000		X
3.375	X	
1.500		X
0.000	X	
-1.500		X
-3.375	X	
-6.000		X
-7.500	X	



Section Through Core Exit Plane Showing Thermocouple Probe Locations.

shown. A transparent pipe through which the solution could be inspected for gas generation followed the mixing chamber.

A photograph showing the assembled core model with power leads and thermocouple leads in place can be seen in Figure 13.

5. Power Circuit and Instrumentation

Figure 14 shows a schematic diagram of the electrical power system. A saturable reactor shown in the diagram was only used to control the voltages of the end electrodes at certain times. The voltages of the intermediate electrodes (3 through 22) were controlled in pairs by variable autotransformers. The power level was controlled by varying the strength of the acid solution up to one per cent by weight, thus changing its resistivity. The maximum total power dissipated in the test section was about 125 KW.

Figure 15 shows a photograph of the control and instrument panels. The power control Variacs are on the panels at the right with their voltmeters and ammeters. An accurate voltmeter (less than one per cent error) with a selector switch so that any electrode potential could be measured was installed later and used throughout the experiments. Accurate ammeters (less than one per cent error) were used to measure the currents drawn by the electrodes. The total electrical power dissipated within the core model was obtained by summing the individual wattages consumed by the individual electrode pairs.

Mean temperature measurements were obtained with the copper-constantan thermocouples. The voltages of these couples were recorded on four twelve-point recording potentiometers which are shown at the far left in Figure 15;

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO 25940




Fig. 13. Overall View of Core Model.

portable precision potentiometers were used most of the time to obtain these voltages, however. The platinum-platinum + 10% rhodium thermocouples, which were normally used to obtain transient data, were also used to determine mean voltages to verify the copper-constantan measurements.

Two methods of measuring temperature fluctuations were used. One consisted of connecting a sensitive mirror galvanometer, made by the Hathaway Instrument Company, to the platinum-platinum + 10% rhodium thermocouples (see Figure 16). Galvanometer deflections were then calibrated in terms of couple voltages or temperatures. Motion pictures were made of the galvanometer deflections. The second method of measuring transient temperatures involved using a Brush recorder with a special isolated preamplifier⁸. A photograph of this system is shown in Figure 17.

To protect the test section and the people working with the experiment, several automatic safety controls were included in the electrical system. The power circuit to the core model was instantaneously opened by control equipment when the following events occurred:

- a) reduction of electrolyte flow rate below a preset value
- b) reduction of cooling water flow rate below a preset value
- c) opening of door to room containing the volume-heat-source experiment.

An alarm system immediately made known the occurrence of any of the above events.

8. This amplifier had an input circuit which was isolated from the remainder of the circuit. This permitted the detection of small varying D.C. voltages in the presence of high A.C. potentials. The amplifier circuit was modified so that the range of flat frequency response was increased.




Fig. 15. Control and Instrument Panels.




Fig. 16. The Hathaway Galvanometer System




Fig. 17. Components of Electronic Transient Temperature Recording System.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

1. Calibration

a. Brush Recorder and Hathaway Systems

When all the transient thermocouples within the core model were held at some known, uniform environment temperature, a zero reading was obtained on the Brush recorder. The environment temperature was then raised to some new uniform temperature level and the deflection of the Brush recorder again noted. The calibration constant was thereby derived directly in degrees centigrade per recorder scale division. An alternate method of calibrating the Brush recorder was used to verify the previous method. A direct current signal was applied to the Brush amplifier and then changed by a known increment. This increment was then converted to a temperature change, and the Brush recorder scale deflection was noted. The calibration constant so obtained was found to be within 5 per cent of the one determined by the previous method.

The Hathaway system was calibrated in the following manner. The output of the platinum-platinum + 10% rhodium thermocouple was impressed directly upon the galvanometer suspension. The light arm deflection was noted for a given thermocouple environment temperature. The temperature level was then raised a known number of degrees, and the deflection of the light arm recorded.

b. Thermocouple Response

An experimental and analytical study of the response of the transient thermocouples under periodic and step function conditions was

conducted. It was found that the thermocouple response itself was far greater than those associated with the Brush recorder and Hathaway galvanometer suspension systems.

c. Frequency Response of Recorder and Galvanometer

Calibration of the frequency response of the Brush recorder was accomplished by impressing a known signal of variable frequency on the system input. The amplitude of the output on the Brush oscillograph was measured, and the results appear in Appendix 4 in terms of percentage of D-C response versus frequency in cycles/sec. A similar calibration of the frequency response of the Hathaway mirror galvanometer was made. The results are also presented in Appendix 4. Both of these Brush recorder and Hathaway response curves show that the 60 cycle per second response is very small compared to the low frequency spectrum.

d. Orifice Meter

The orifice meter was calibrated by measuring the weight flow rates and manometer deflections over the range of operating conditions. The calibration for a two-inch orifice is presented in Appendix 4; a graph of the mean Reynolds number as a function of manometer deflection can also be found there.

2. Operational Technique

Four sets of volume-heat-source experiments were conducted during this investigation. Two sets of measurements were made with a swirl-flow entrance; in one case, both simulated pump inlets were open, and in the other

case, one inlet was closed. Two additional sets of measurements were obtained with vanes located at the core entrance; the one and two pump flow simulation was again examined.

All heat transfer and fluid flow data were recorded only after the following steps were completed in the indicated sequence:

- a) The system was filled with the electrolyte.
- b) The electrolyte was circulated through the system by means of the two pumps.
- c) The datum temperature of the electrolyte was maintained by an automatically controlled cooling water flow rate in the heat exchanger.
- d) A predetermined voltage distribution for the electrode system was established before the power was applied.
- e) Power was turned on.
- f) A final check was made on the desired electrode voltage distribution as shown in Figure 6.
- g) Thermal equilibrium was established in the flow system. The external core model environment temperature was matched to the mean wall temperature within the core in order to establish the uncooled-wall condition.

During the subsequent period of data recording, it was observed that the mean temperature and electric flux fields were extremely stable. For example, the variation in the mean temperature structure was less than one-quarter of one per cent. Further, only negligibly small gaseous electrolysis products existed in the exit flow as detected by the Tyndall light

scattering effect. A minute amount of leakage current was conducted through the external flow circuit from the core (less than 0.001 amps).

MEAN AND TRANSIENT TEMPERATURE RESULTS

1. Swirl-Flow Case; Two Pumps

Fifteen complete power runs were made for the core model using the swirl-flow entrance. Both flow valves were open, thus simulating the ART flow with both pumps in operation. The ranges of parameters investigated follow:

$$66,000 < Re_g < 256,000$$

$$4 < Pr < 5$$

$$5 < t_{mo} - t_{mi} < 10^{\circ}F$$

$$0.08 < P_t < 0.12 \text{ Megawatts}$$

The heat balances obtained for the system were within ± 5 per cent of being perfect. Typical mean⁹, uncooled wall and mixed-mean fluid temperature profiles obtained in these experiments with a uniform volume heat source are presented in Figure 18 in a generalized form. The asymmetries in the outer and inner core wall temperatures can be explained on the basis of hydrodynamic asymmetries. For example, the high inner core wall temperature in the northern hemisphere existed because of a separation region which completely encompassed the inner wall (island wall) in that region.

The hydrodynamic structure in the northern hemisphere of both the swirl-flow and vaned-flow entrance cases is extremely complex. The shear stress and turbulence distributions are unknown in that region. Therefore, it was

9. The word "mean" in this case denotes an average with respect to time at a particular location as well as an average with respect to peripheral position.




Fig. 18. Mean, Uncooled Inner and Outer Core Wall Temperature Measurements for the Half-Scale ART with a Uniform Volume Heat Source (Swirl Entrance)

felt that predictions of the detailed, uncooled-wall temperature structure in the entrance region would probably be too simplified and hence were not made¹⁰. A calculation of the entrance length in a simple parallel plates system was made along the lines of Latzko's analysis (reference 16). It was found that the entrance length for the swirl-flow case was about 36 diameters. This meant that the flow was established in most of the southern hemisphere because the physical, vectorial channel length in this case was also 36 diameters. Consequently, the solution for established temperature structure in a parallel plates system (reference 2) was used to predict the local uncooled wall-fluid temperature difference in the southern hemisphere. It can be shown that,

$$\frac{t_o - t_m}{t_{mo} - t_{mi}} = \frac{r_o}{4L} \text{Re Pr} \left(\frac{\Delta t_{VHS}}{\frac{\bar{W}r_o^2}{k}} \right) \text{ uniform } W(r) \quad (17)$$

This relation was evaluated with the aid of the solution in reference 2 for the swirl-flow case and is seen graphed in Figure 18; the predicted temperature profile lies between the experimental temperature profiles for the inner and outer walls.

Variations in the experimental uncooled core wall temperatures with respect to peripheral position were observed in the system. For example, the uncooled wall-fluid temperature difference, on the inner wall in the

10. Nevertheless, a series of laminar and turbulent flow heat transfer analyses pertaining to entrance regions in simple flow systems were derived. Cases where both thermal and hydrodynamic patterns are being developed were considered. A paper on these analyses is to be prepared in the future.

equatorial region, varied by plus or minus 30 per cent from the mean wall-fluid temperature difference at that plane. The corresponding variations in wall-fluid temperature difference for the cooled-wall core would probably be much less because the peripheral variation in the heat transfer coefficient no doubt would be a compensating factor.

The experimental temperature profiles obtained for the uniform power density case were transformed to those corresponding to the radial, non-uniform power density case (Figure 1) with the aid of the mathematical temperature analyses presented earlier in this report. The axial mixed-mean temperature distributions for both the ART axial power distribution (reference 21) and the uniform axial power distribution are compared in Figure 19. The maximum difference is seen to be less than one per cent of the total mixed-mean temperature rise. The results can be seen in Figure 19 together with a corresponding analytical solution. Note that wall temperatures as high as 1750°F would occur if the wall were not cooled.

Typical transient wall and fluid temperature data are shown in Figure 20 in terms of the total temperature fluctuation divided by the axial temperature rise of the fluid going through the core. The frequencies of the temperature fluctuations for this half-scale model varied from about one-half cycle per second to four cycles per second. The frequency range of temperature fluctuations in the full-scale ART system, operating with fluoride fuel, should be similar to that in the half-scale model experiment with the acid solution. A simple average of the maximum wall and fluid temperature fluctuations with respect to peripheral position was





Fig. 19. Mean, Uncooled Inner and Outer Core Wall Temperature Profiles for the Half-Scale ART with a Nonuniform Volume Heat Source (Swirl-Flow Entrance)



$Re_s = 256,000$
 $W = \bar{W}$ (UNIFORM)

Fig. 20. Typical Transient Surface and Fluid Temperatures for Swirl-Flow Case.

obtained. Typical measurements uncorrected for frequency response are shown plotted as a function of axial distance in Figure 21 for the uniform power density case. These fluctuations would, of course, be larger for the nonuniform power density case. Note that the outer wall temperature fluctuations are greater than those measured at the inner wall; this difference appears to reflect the Rayleigh stability criterion. A detailed frequency response correction is laborious because of the difficulty in determining instantaneous frequencies for the complex fluctuation spectrum. However, on the basis of a mean fluctuation frequency of about two cycles per second, one would expect to increase the magnitude of the fluctuations given in Figure 21 by a factor of about 1.5.

2. Vaned-Flow Case; Two Pumps

Six complete power runs were made for the core model using the vaned-flow entrance. Again, both valves were opened to simulate normal ART operating conditions. The ranges of parameters investigated follow:

$$60,000 < Re_s < 180,000$$

$$4 < Pr < 5$$

$$5 < t_{mo} - t_{mi} < 10^{\circ}F$$

$$0.08 < P_t < 0.12 \text{ Megawatts}$$

Heat balances were again within ± 5 per cent of being perfect. Typical mean, uncooled-wall and mixed-mean fluid temperature profiles for a uniform volume heat source are presented in Figure 22. Note that the high inner wall temperatures in the northern hemisphere obtained in the previous swirl-flow case are no longer present because the vane system almost




Fig. 21. Peripherally Averaged Maximum Temperature Fluctuations for Swirl-Flow Core




Fig. 22. Mean, Uncooled Inner and Outer Core Wall Temperature Measurements for the Half-Scale ART with a Uniform Volume Heat Source (Varied Flow Entrance)

completely eliminated the flow separation in that region. However, the uncooled-wall temperatures in all other regions were higher for the vaned-flow case than those for the swirl-flow case because the latter case was characterized by a significantly higher vector Reynolds number.

A calculation revealed that the entrance length in a simple parallel plates system corresponding to the vaned-flow case was about 29 diameters. Since the physical vectorial channel length was only 16 diameters for this case, the thermal boundary layers were about 67 per cent of the fully established values at the idealized core exit. However, the analytical, uncooled-wall temperature profile for the southern hemisphere shown in Figure 22 was carried out for established temperature conditions.

Peripheral variations in the experimental uncooled-wall temperatures were again observed. However, they were generally larger than those found in the swirl-flow case. For example, the uncooled wall-fluid temperature difference, on the inner wall in the equatorial region, varied by ± 90 per cent from the mean temperature difference at that plane.

The experimental temperature profiles obtained for the uniform power density case were transformed to those corresponding to the nonuniform power density case as was done previously. The results can be observed in Figure 23 together with the analytical prediction. Note that wall temperatures as high as 1850°F would result if the walls were not cooled.

Typical transient wall and fluid temperature data for the vaned-flow case are presented in Figure 24. The frequency spectrum of the temperature fluctuation for this case was, in general, similar to the one found for the swirl-flow case. However, at certain flow conditions in the northern




Fig. 23. Mean, Uncooled Inner and Outer Core Wall Temperature Profiles for the Half-Scale ART with a Nonuniform Volume Heat Source (Vaned-Flow Entrance)




Fig. 24. Typical Transient Surface and Fluid Temperature for the Vaned-Flow Case

hemisphere, some large amplitude, low-frequency fluctuations were noted in the vaned-flow case. Maximum wall and fluid temperature fluctuations, averaged with respect to peripheral position, are shown in Figure 25 as a function of axial position; frequency response corrections were not made. The outer wall temperature fluctuations in the northern hemisphere were significantly lower for the vaned-flow condition than in the previous case. However, wall and fluid temperature fluctuations in the southern hemisphere were somewhat larger for the vaned-flow case. These increases in fluctuation magnitudes appear to correspond to similar increases in the mean radial temperature differences for the vaned-flow case.

The four heavy platinum thermocouple probes located just beyond the core exit were used to obtain local fluid temperature fluctuations as well as a mean radial fluid temperature distribution in that region. The transient temperature distribution within a platinum probe was calculated for the case of a sudden step function increase in the temperature of the electrolyte flowing past the probe. A similar calculation was made for the probe on the basis that it was made of Inconel rather than platinum¹¹. It was shown that shortly after the step function had been applied to the two systems (about 0.03 seconds), the fluid-metal interface temperatures of both probes increased almost by the same amount. The centerline temperature of

11. A comparison of these two cases allows one to describe the probable transient temperature behavior in the Inconel heat exchanger walls under high frequency cycling. Note that the radial wall thickness of the Inconel tubes (25 mils) and the platinum probes (35 mils) were nearly equal.




Fig. 25. Peripherally Averaged Transient Temperature Fluctuations for the Vaned-Flow Co...

the platinum probe (where the thermocouple was located) had increased by an amount that was 88 per cent of the temperature rise at the probe-fluid interface. However, in the case of the Inconel probe, the centerline temperature increased by an amount that was only 61 per cent of the temperature rise at the probe-fluid interface; for this case, the centerline temperature had only increased by 18 per cent above the original initial temperature level as compared to a 52 per cent increase for the platinum probe. Further, more than one and one-half times as much heat was transferred to the platinum probe. These simple calculations indicated that the temperature fluctuations measured in the center of the platinum probe would be at least as large as those which would exist at the surface of an Inconel tube located at that position under such rapid transient conditions.

A graph of the mean radial fluid temperature distribution just beyond the core outlet in terms of the mixed-mean fluid temperature at core inlet and outlet can be seen in Figure 26. The uncooled core wall temperature profile is not symmetrical about the channel centerline¹²; its shape is quite similar to the theoretical one shown previously in Figure 2. It is noted in Figure 26 that, upon the comparison of the measured fluid temperature distribution with the mixed-mean fluid temperature at exit, more area between the two curves lies above the mixed-mean temperature than lies below it. This is as expected, because the mixed-mean fluid temperature is averaged with respect to velocity as well as temperature. Hydrodynamic studies have shown that more fluid flows axially through the inner

12. The reason for this temperature asymmetry will be more fully discussed in a following section of this report.




Fig. 26. A Comparison of the Radial Fluid Temperature Profile with Inlet and Outlet Mixed-Mean Temperature (Vaned-Flow Case)

portion of the channel at exit than flows in the outer portion. It is also pointed out that the radial fluid temperature profile plotted in Figure 26 is based on only four thermocouple determinations; it would have been desirable to have had more data to establish a mean profile.

It was of interest to determine what the peak fuel temperatures would be in the ART core exit. These temperatures were estimated for both the swirl-flow and vaned-flow cases as follows:

- a) The experimental radial temperature profiles for uniform volume-heat-source conditions were transformed to profiles corresponding to an averaged nonuniform volume-heat-source distribution (see Figure 1).
- b) The results of the wall cooling analysis described in the section on Mathematical Heat Transfer Analyses were then used to determine the peak fuel temperatures.

Local fuel temperatures so estimated ranged from 100°F to 170°F higher than mixed-mean fluid temperatures for the swirl-flow and vaned-flow cases, respectively.

The complicated question of how much decay takes place in the fuel temperature peak (for the wall-cooled case as described in Figure 5) as the fuel flows through the short exit passage to the heat exchanger entrance was investigated. This passage was divided into two regions. The first half of the passage was treated as a simple curved-wall channel system, and the second half was considered to be a simple straight channel. The decay of the turbulent thermal boundary layer in the curved channel

system on the convex side (where the high temperature peak exists) was carried out by the usual Von Karman technique with the exception that, in this case, hydrodynamic data (velocity profiles and wall shear stresses) characteristic of curved channel systems were used. The results for the first half of the passage showed that, on the convex side, the boundary layer would take about 80 diameters to become established (because of the great decrease in eddy diffusivity on that side of the channel). As the physical length of that portion of the passage was only 9 diameters, a negligible decay of the peak would occur in that region. Thermal boundary layer decay in the second half of the passage was calculated on the basis of the work of Latzko (reference 16) for straight ducts. The results indicated that it would take about 33 diameters for the thermal boundary layers to become established; the physical length of that portion of the passage was only 9 diameters. On the basis of the functional relationship between boundary layer thickness with axial distance in the passage, this means that at the heat exchanger entrance there would be approximately a 36 per cent decay of the original fuel temperature peak. On the basis of these simplified decay analyses and the previous experimental and analytical studies of the fuel temperature structure within the core itself, it was estimated¹³ that peak fuel temperatures at the heat exchanger entrance will be about 90⁰F in excess of the mean fluid temperature for the

13. Peak fuel temperatures were calculated at the core exit in the manner outlined in the previous paragraph. However, the actual volume-heat-source distribution near the outer wall was used rather than the averaged profile shown in Figure 1.

swirl-flow case and about 150°F in excess of the mean fluid temperature for the vaned-flow case.

3. Swirl-Flow and Vaned-Flow Cases; One Pump

During both the swirl-flow and vaned-flow experiments, a series of runs were made for the simulated case of one-pump operation. In general, it was found that relatively large peripheral and axial asymmetries existed in the uncooled-wall temperature distributions. The wall and fluid temperature fluctuations were also larger than had been found for the corresponding two-pump experiments. Typical uncooled-wall temperature data are shown in Figure 27 for the swirl-flow case. The peripheral locations of individual temperature measurements are not indicated in the figure. Note that now, some points lie below the mixed-mean fluid temperature and other points lie far above.




Fig. 27. Experimental Uncooled-Wall Temperature Measurements for Swirl-Flow Case with One Pump in Operation

GENERAL COMPARISON OF HYDRODYNAMIC AND THERMAL FIELDS

It is obvious from analytical considerations that there is a direct relationship between the hydrodynamic and thermal fields for a given flow system. The forced convection heat transfer equations contain heat flow functions that are uniquely defined in terms of the fluid velocity components.

Several specific examples of how the steady velocity field influences the steady temperature field have already been mentioned in the past section; it may be of interest, at this point, to examine in more detail one such case. In Figure 18, it was observed that the uncooled outer core wall temperature at the core exit was significantly greater than the one at the inner wall. This feature can be explained by studying the vectorial velocity distributions in the southern hemisphere shown in Figure 28. Note that these velocity profiles, which were determined from experimental axial and tangential velocity measurements (reference 17), are asymmetric in shape. Consider the channel flow to be divided into a narrow inner layer and a wide outer layer, which possesses a somewhat lower velocity. From the previously developed analytical temperature solutions for a simple parallel plates system, it would be expected that the outer uncooled core wall temperature would be greater than the corresponding inner temperature.

A comparison of the transient velocity and temperature fields was also made. A study of the fluctuating velocity structure in the vicinity of the core walls was carried out with motion pictures (reference 18) of dye filaments in a full-scale plastic model of the core. Frequencies of the fluid

ORNL-LR-DWG. 18008





Fig. 28. Vectorial Velocity Profiles in Reactor Core Exit (Swirl-Flow Case)

velocity fluctuations as well as their angular displacements in the vicinity of the walls were determined by counting the total number of cycles a fluctuating dye filament underwent in a given unit of time as well as by observing angular displacements. Figure 29 shows a plot of the angular dye filament displacements¹⁴ as a function of time, together with wall temperature fluctuation measurements obtained in the half-scale volume-heat-source system; both sets of measurements were determined for the outer wall in the equatorial region. The velocity data presented in Figure 29 suggests a mean frequency of perhaps one cycle per second, whereas, the wall temperatures appear to be varying with a frequency of about two cycles per second. This agreement is satisfactory because the fluctuation frequency in the half-scale model should be double that of the full-scale water model at the same Reynolds number. No attempt was made to compare the magnitude of the dye filament deflections with the temperature amplitudes chiefly because the exact locations of the dye filaments from the core wall were variable and unknown.

14. Note, since the temperature frequency spectrum was limited to 1/2 to 4 cycles per second, only the fundamental mode of the velocity data should be compared to the temperature data.

STATION #5 - OUTER SHELL WALL
 1" ABOVE MIDPLANE OF FULL-SIZE CORE
 AVG. HELICAL $Re = 290,000$

ORNL-LR-DWG. 18009




TRANSIENT TEMPERATURE MINUS MEAN TEMPERATURE OF CORE WALL

Pt - Pt + 10% Rh THERMOCOUPLE AT STATION 4

1.500" ABOVE MIDPLANE OF HALF-SCALE CORE

AVG. HELICAL $Re = 256,000$



-76-

Fig. 29. Comparison of Transient Velocity and Temperature Data in the Swirl-Flow Case

CONCLUSIONS

1. ART Core

The following statements about the thermal structure within the ART core can be made on the basis of the results obtained from the heat transfer and fluid flow research presented here:

- a) Unless the core shell walls are cooled, maximum wall temperatures ranging from 1750°F to 1850°F (depending upon the type of entrance flow) will exist near the core exit. About 3 per cent of the heat generated within the core must be extracted to accomplish the cooling task.
- b) Unless the sodium coolant flows through the cooling annuli in a uniform fashion¹⁵, hot and cold spots will exist which will influence local shape, strength, and corrosion of the core shells.
- c) Local fuel temperatures at the core exit, under wall cooling conditions, are from 100°F to 170°F higher than the mixed-mean fuel temperature (depending upon the type of entrance flow). Decay calculations indicate that peak fuel temperatures will not be attenuated markedly as the fuel flows through the short exit passage to the heat exchanger entrance. These nonuniform fuel temperature distributions must be taken into account in estimating heat exchanger wall temperatures.

15. A study of the nonuniformity of the sodium flow through the cooling annuli for two types of eccentric flow conditions was conducted and the results reported in reference 19.

- d) The temperature structure within the core becomes significantly asymmetric with respect to peripheral position when one pump is not in operation.
- e) The core shell interface and fuel temperatures are transient in nature (frequency spectrum ranges from about 1/2 to 4 cycles per second). Cyclic stress calculations, on the basis of these temperature fluctuations, indicate that stresses as large as $\pm 13,000$ psi will exist in the surface layers of the Inconel core shells if the metal is elastic. The influence of these temperature fluctuations on corrosion and material strength is unknown at present.

It has been demonstrated that some hot spots as well as some high frequency thermal cycling will exist in the ART system. This suggests that a greater research effort is required to determine how seriously these temperature structures influence material strength and corrosion. Some thermal cycling research has already been initiated by the Heat Transfer and Physical Properties Section.

2. Reflector-Moderated Reactor Cores in General

During the past several years, the heat transfer and fluid flow research on high-temperature reflector-moderated reactors has brought to light certain fundamental problems which prevent the attainment of optimum thermodynamic efficiencies. These problems are:

- a) High fuel temperatures exist at the core walls which must be reduced by substantial wall cooling.
- b) Radial fuel temperature distributions are normally so nonuniform in character that the highest mixed-mean fuel temperatures at the core outlets cannot be realized.
- c) Nonuniform radial fuel temperature distributions generate hot spots and significant temperature fluctuations if the fluid flow is asymmetrical and unstable in character.
- d) Complex moderator-cooling components are required to cool low-temperature moderators.

In order to remedy problems a, b, and c listed above, it is necessary to develop a circulating-fuel reactor core whose fuel temperature distribution is nearly uniform with respect to radius. This can be achieved in two ways: 1) generate a high turbulence level within the fuel by mechanical means and 2) control the fuel velocity distribution so that very little radial heat flow occurs.

3. New Core Configurations

One method of generating a high turbulence level within the fuel is to fill a fraction of the core volume with packing such as screens; this configuration would destroy thermal boundary layers. The hydrodynamic studies describing this method will be reported in ORNL-2199. Two problems associated with such a core would be the increase in the effective absorption cross-section of the reactor and the cooling of the packing, particularly under zero fuel flow conditions.

It has recently been shown that the stabilizing effect of a forced vortex within a circular tube permits the generation of axial fluid velocity distributions of many desired forms. A unique tubular fuel element based on this principle has been developed (reference 20) which makes it possible to control the velocity structure so that a nearly uniform radial temperature distribution can be obtained. A reactor core utilizing this fuel element would have the following advantages:

- a) A separate core-wall cooling system is not required.
- b) An optimum thermodynamic efficiency can be achieved.
- c) Hot spot and thermal cycling problems would be greatly reduced.
- d) If a high-temperature reflector-moderator is used in the system, the fuel velocity structure can be so controlled at the core walls so that "fuel cooling" of the moderator can be achieved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A number of people played important roles in making the half-scale ART volume-heat-source experiment a success. The authors are indebted to the following people:

J. M. Cornwell and his colleagues were responsible for carrying out the design work for the half-scale model.

R. J. Fox and his associates in the X-10 machine shop constructed the complicated platinum-plastic core model.

E. R. Baxter and other Y-12 craftsmen assembled the volume-heat-source experiment.

G. W. Greene and J. A. Russell, Jr., designed the control and instrumentation circuits of the experiment.

R. T. Guice was responsible for the proper functioning of all the recording equipment.

F. E. Lynch and R. M. Burnett assisted in assembling the complicated thermocouple system and in the reduction of the data.

The authors also wish to thank M. D. Eden, M. B. Arnold, and S. E. Wassom who typed the manuscript and prepared the illustrations.

APPENDIX 1

Electrolysis Research

An experiment was conducted to determine separately the effects of voltage, current density, frequency, electrode materials, and temperature on electrolytic gas evolution. The results of this experiment indicated that current density, frequency, and electrode materials were significant. Faraday's law (the proportionality between liberated gas and current) was verified at bare electrode surfaces. Further, for a given amount of gas liberation at an electrode surface, the amount of gas liberated per unit surface area decreases as the total electrode area increases.

During the search for electrode materials, it was found that platinum possessed the unique property of forming a finely divided platinum surface film, which materially reduced electrolytic gas liberation. This is the result of the recombination of oxygen and hydrogen due to the catalytic action of the film. Measurements were made with time of the 60 cycle current required to initiate gas liberation at the surface of a platinum electrode of known area. As a result of the surface film accumulation, the current was observed to increase with time and eventually level off at approximately 12 amps/in². When this value was reached, the surface was considered fully passivised. However, 6 amps/in² was used conservatively as a design criterion.

Since the liberation of gas may be considered an effect of polarization, a study was also made of the influence of power source frequency on gas

generation. For a constant gas generation rate at a passivised platinum electrode surface in a 5 per cent H_2SO_4 solution, determinations were made of the required surface current density with frequency to initiate gassing. These results are given in Figure 30, where it appears that the surface current density varies directly as the square root of the frequency; namely,

$$\sigma \sim \sqrt{f} \quad (18)$$

This expression is the well-known Warburg law, which relates polarization at electrodes to frequency.

This study indicates that if one employs the resistance heating method of volume power generation, the following factors must be considered:

- a) Platinum electrodes are superior to other types.
- b) High frequency electric currents make it possible to attain higher power densities without gas liberation at the electrode. However, the frequency must not be so great that the "skin effect" becomes important.
- c) For a given system with a fixed electrical power frequency, the volume heating intensity is proportional to the applied voltage, since the maximum surface current density is established.
- d) Electrode arrangements should be such as to distribute the current over the greatest electrode area.




Fig. 30. Variation of Maximum Surface Current Density with Frequency at a Passivised Platinum Electrode in an H₂SO₄ Solution.

APPENDIX 2

Physical Properties of Electrolyte

The electrolyte employed in this experiment was a one per cent (by weight) H_2SO_4 solution. Figures 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, and 36 show respectively the specific heat, thermal conductivity, viscosity, density, electrical conductivity, and Prandtl numbers of the sulphuric acid solution.

ORNL-LR-DWG. 18011




Fig. 31. Specific Heat of a 4 Per Cent (By Weight) Aqueous H₂SO₄ Solution (Data from Int. Critical Tables)




Fig. 32. Thermal Conductivity of a 1 Per Cent (By Weight) Aqueous H₂SO₄ Solution (Data from Int. Critical Tables)




Fig. 33. Viscosity of a 1 Per Cent (By Weight) Aqueous H₂SO₄ Solution (Data Taken from International Critical Tables)




Fig. 34. Density of a 1 Per Cent (By Weight) Aqueous H_2SO_4 Solution (Data Taken from International Critical Tables)




Fig. 35. Electrical Conductivity of a 1 Per Cent (By Weight) Aqueous H₂SO₄ Solution (Data Taken from International Critical Table)

ORNL-LR-DWG. 18016




Fig. 36. Prandtl Number of a 1 Per Cent (By Weight) Aqueous H_2SO_4 Solution.

APPENDIX 3

Materials of Construction and Flow System Components

a. Materials of Construction

The materials directly in contact with the H_2SO_4 solution were Carpenter 20 alloy, platinum, Micarta plastic, Boltaron plastic, Araldite resin, Flexiglas, Pyrex, Teflon, and Neoprene. The pumps, heat exchanger, and flow regulator valves were also constructed of Carpenter 20 alloy. The core model (see Figure 8 for cross section) was made primarily of close-grain Micarta and platinum.

b. The Half-Scale Core Model

The exact half-scale model of the proposed ART core was constructed of laminated Micarta sheets in which were imbedded platinum rings of the desired shape. Bus bars contacting the electrodes conducted the current radially outward. The thermocouples, which were in contact with the electrolyte between the electrodes, were composed of platinum-platinum + 10% rhodium.

c. System Components

Two 20-h.p. Carpenter 20 single-stage centrifugal pumps withdrew the electrolyte from a glass-lined, 300-gallon reservoir. Carpenter 20 alloy globe valves regulated the electrolyte flow rates to a maximum of 200 gal/min at a 200-foot head. A thin plate VDI-type 2-inch diameter orifice in conjunction with a 50-inch manometer was used to measure electrolyte flow rates. The high resistance external electrical circuit was insured

by means of Boltaron plastic piping in the flow system ducting electrolyte to and from the core.

The Carpenter 20 alloy heat exchanger was of the cross-counter flow type having 50 one-half-inch tubes on three-fourth-inch centers in a triangular array. The outer diameter was 12 inches, with a total length of 12 feet, including the headers.

The electrolyte temperature level was maintained by means of a 2-inch pneumatic valve, which controlled the flow rate of cooling water through the heat exchanger in conjunction with an automatic regulator.

APPENDIX 4

Calibrations

Calibration curves for the Hathaway galvanometer and Brush recorder systems appear in Figures 37 and 38. The flow metering orifice coefficient versus the manometer deflection appears in Figure 39. The variation of the mean axial Reynolds number within the core is plotted with the manometer deflection in Figure 40.




Fig. 37. Frequency Response of Galvanometer 4036-2




Fig. 38. Frequency Response of Brush Recorder - L & N Preamplifier System.




Fig. 39. Volume-Heat-Source Experiment Orifice Calibration (2 in. Dia. Orifice in a 3 in. Pipe)




Fig. 40. Axial Reynolds Number Based on Mean Core Perimeter

REFERENCES

1. Fraas, A. P., ANP Quarterly Progress Report, June 1954, ORNL-1729, p. 28.
2. Poppendiek, H. F. and Palmer, L. D., "Forced Convection Heat Transfer Between Parallel Plates and in Annuli with Volume Heat Sources Within the Fluids," ORNL-1701, May 1954.
3. Poppendiek, H. F. and Palmer, L. D., ANP Quarterly Progress Report, September 1954, ORNL-1771, p. 131 and, Bradfute, J. O. et al, ANP Quarterly Progress Report, December 1954, ORNL-1816, p. 114.
4. Nikuradse, Johann, "Untersuchungen Uber Die Stromungen Des Wassers In Konvergenten Und Divergenten Kanalen," Forschungsarbeiten, V. D. I., Volume 289, pp. 1-49, 1929.
5. Poppendiek, H. F. and Palmer, L. D., ANP Quarterly Progress Report, September 1954, ORNL-1771, p. 131.
6. Wattendorf, F. L., "A Study of the Effect of Curvature on Fully Developed Turbulent Flow," Proc. Roy. Soc., Vol. 148, 1935, pp. 565-598.
7. Poppendiek, H. F. and Muller, G. L., ANP Quarterly Progress Report, December 1955, ORNL-2012, Parts 1-3, p. 177.
8. Bradfute, J. O., "Qualitative Velocity Information Regarding the ART Core," CF 54-12-110.
9. Muller, G. L. and Bradfute, J. O., "Qualitative Velocity Profiles with Rotation in 18-Inch ART Core," CF 55-3-15.
10. Bradfute, J. O., Lynch, F. E., and Muller, G. L., "Fluid Velocity Measured in the 18-Inch ART Core by a Particle-Photographic Technique," CF 55-6-137.
11. Poppendiek, H. F. and Palmer, L. D., "Application of Temperature Solutions for Forced Convection Systems with Volume Heat Sources to General Convection Problems," ORNL-1933, September 1955.
12. Poppendiek, H. F. and Palmer, L. D., ANP Quarterly Progress Report, March 1956, ORNL-2061, Parts 1-3, p. 176.

13. Greene, N. D. et al, ANP Quarterly Progress Report, June 1956, ORNL-2106, Parts 1-5, p. 222.
14. Greene, N. D. et al, ANP Quarterly Progress Report, September 1956, ORNL-2157, Parts 1-5, p. 227.
15. Churchill, R. V., "Modern Operational Mathematics in Engineering," First Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1944, p. 125.
16. Latzko, H., "Der Warmeubergang an einen Turbulenten Flussigkeits oder Gasstrom," Zeit. fur Ang. Math. und Mech., Vol. 1, No. 4, Aug. 1921.
17. Furgerson, W. T., Stelzman, W. J., Whitman, G. D., personal communication of unpublished velocity data.
18. Stelzman, W. J. and Whitman, G. D., personal communication of photographic records.
19. Copenhaver, C. M. and Lynch, F. E., "ART Reflector Sodium Annulus Study," CF 56-7-155.
20. Poppendiek, H. F., Greene, N. D., and Palmer, L. D., ANP Quarterly Progress Report, December 1956, ORNL-2221, Chapter 4.1, "Heat Transfer and Physical Properties: Heat Transfer in Reflector-Moderated Reactor Cores."
21. Perry, A. M., "Core Power and Temperature Distribution," ART Design Memo No. 8-B-1, Add. 2, Feb. 17, 1956.