Development of a Variable-Speed Residential Air-Source Integrated Heat Pump

Keith Rice, Bo Shen, Jeffrey Munk, Moonis Ally, and Van Baxter, ORNL





ANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



General Motivation

- Strategic Focus of U.S. DOE Buildings Technology Office
 - Maximize energy efficiency of U.S. buildings
 - Reduce building energy consumption 50% by 2030 vs 2010 levels
 - Sub goal for HVAC 20% reduction by 2030
 - Sub goal for WH 60% reduction by 2030
 - Relative to current minimum efficiency equipment
- Develop integrated heat pumps (IHPs) to help meet these goals
 - Ground-source IHP unit recently introduced to U. S. market
 - Air-source IHP (AS-IHP) designs under development with industry partners
 - Two-unit design, for both new and retrofit market, is the subject of another paper presented later at the conference
 - Single-unit variable-speed design is the focus of this paper



Conceptual Installation of AS-IHP





Conceptual AS-IHP Packaging Approach





Specific Motivation For Single-Unit VS Design

- To make maximum year-round use of highly efficient but more costly variable-speed compressor, blower, fan, and pump
 - To enable waste heat recovery for WH in cooling season
 - Not free heat due to elevated condensing temperatures
 - But dual useful outputs from single power input
 - To provide dedicated WH capability in shoulder months
 - To maintain comfort conditions in cooling, heating, and shoulder seasons
- To meet both high and lower capacity loads efficiently using speed modulation
- To provide >50% annual energy savings for HVAC/WH functions



Prototype Design

- 10.6 kW (3-ton) Nominal Cooling Capacity
- Full Condensing (FC) and Desuperheating (DS) Water Heating
 - Parallel Condensers when FC
 - Series Condensers when DS
- Modulating Brushless Permanent Magnet (BPM) Components

 Rotary Compressor, Blower, Fan, and Pump
- Dual Electronic Expansion Valves (EEVs)
- R-410A Refrigerant
- Patented Refrigerant Charge Management



Main Operating Modes

- Space Cooling
 - Enhanced DH option
- Combined Space Cooling and WH (heat recovery)
 Enhanced DH Option
- Combined Space Cooling and Desuperheating
- Dedicated WH
- Space Heating
- Combined Space Heating and Desuperheating





WH/DH Unit -Tube-In-Tube WH HX Used in First Prototype

Refrigerant-Outside, Water-Inside Double-Walled Fluted Tube Hx





AS-IHP Unit – Hardware Configuration

First Lab-Tested Prototype



Second Lab-Tested Prototype





AS-IHP Unit – WH Design Constraints

Example of Condensing Temperature Limits Versus Compressor Speed





AS-IHP Unit – Lab S-S Test Conditions

- Space Conditioning at Standard VS Ratings Conditions and Speeds
 - w/o and with desuperheating, EWTs from 21 to 60°C
- Combined SC+ WH Operation at Similar Conditions/Speed
 EWTs from 21 to 48.9°C
- Dedicated WH from 8.3 to 25°C, 36 and 45 rps

EWTs from 21 to 48.9°C



Calibration of HX Models in SC+WH Mode in First Prototype

Air-to-Refrig. Evaporator

Water-to-Refrig. Condenser





Indoor Airflow Needs to be Reduced When in Combined SC+WH Mode For = SHR Control





Power Comparisons versus Flow Between 3-Speed Induction and BPM Pump for Design Head Requirements





Optimal Water Flow Vs Cond. Subcooling for BPM Pump and Brazed Plate HX in Second Prototype Design



Combined EER (SC+WH)

Increasing Subcooling



Increasing Water Flow

Annual Performance Simulations

- Mapped AS-IHP performance with ORNL HPDM
 - Using calibrated models over wide range of air/water inlet conditions
 - For modes of SC, SC+WH, Dedicated WH, SH, and SH+ DS
 - Using BPM Compressor, Blower, Fan, and Pump
- Linked with TRNSYS house/weather project and type 534 WH tank module
 - 3-min time steps and nominal 50°C WH set point
 - Prescribed daily hot water draw schedule (shown next)
- Compared versus baseline all-electric suite of min eff equipment
 3.8 CSPF / 2.3 HSPF HP, 0.9 EF WH, and 1.4 EF DH



Assumed Daily Hot Water Draw Schedule from DHW Tank, ~243 L/day





Predicted WH Savings in 5 U.S Locations

Location	% WH Energy Savings Versus Electric WH with 0.90 EF
Atlanta	70.0
Houston	75.7
Phoenix	72.2
San Francisco	69.4
Chicago	62.4
US average	69.9



Predicted Annual Energy Savings in 5 U.S Locations

For 242 m² (2600 ft²) well-insulated house

Location	% Energy Savings Versus Baseline HP w Electric WH
Atlanta	53.3
Houston	54.7
Phoenix	46.7
San Francisco	60.9
Chicago	46.0
US average	52.3



Summary and Next Step

- Prototype AS-IHP Units Developed and Lab Tested
 - Provided basis for calibrated performance models and annual savings predictions
 - Average savings potential of >50% predicted for tested unit in range of suitable U.S. climates
- Fully Variable-Speed Space Conditioning
 - Maximizes efficiency in cooling and heating seasons
 - Allows overspeed operation at cold ambients to minimize backup resistance heat
- Provides efficient high-capacity WH operation over wide range of conditions
 - multiple modes of WH are predicted to provide average WH energy savings of 70%
 - while staying within the allowed compressor operation envelope
- Field tests on a 3rd generation prototype design begun in spring of 2014

