New Capabilities of the Mark VI Web Version of the DOE/ORNL Heat Pump Design Model

C. Keith Rice USNC/IIR Short Course on Simulation Tools July 2004

New Mark VI Web Model Features

- Improved HFC Properties and HT Correl's
- Condenser Circuit Merging Capability
- Improved Airflow Calculation Options
 - Fixed flow for manuf. performance tables or
 - Mass flow varying with fan inlet conditions
 - Better airflow and fan power predict. with amb
- More Air-Side Surface Choices

Mark VI Model Improvements

More HFC-Capable

- New Properties for HFC Mixtures
 - Improved Near-Critical and Transport Props
- HFC-Suitable Two-Phase H.T. Coefficients
 - Evaporating and Condensing
- HFC-Suitable Flow Controls
 - Cap- and Short- Tube Correlations for R-410A

Cooling COP Comparisons for R-410A Over a Range of Condensing Temps With Different Property Representations



Mark VI Model Improvements

- Improved Transport Properties
 - Updated Correlations for HFC Mixtures
 - From Allied (93) to Geller (2000)
 - For viscosity, thermal conductivity
 - Updated Liquid Viscosity Correlation for R-22
 - From ASHRAE (76) to curve fit to RefProp6 (01)

Improved R-410A Transport Properties - - Viscosity - -

Viscosity of R-410A Sat. Liquid and Vapor



OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Improved R-22 Transport Properties - - Liquid Viscosity - -

Viscosity of R-22 Sat. Liquid and Vapor 1.00 <mark>−●</mark>−^µf,ASH(76) 0.90 → ^μf,EES(99) 0.80 Viscosity (Ibm/hr-ft) ^{___}µ_f,RefProp6(99) 0.70 ⁻•[−]^µf,RefProp6,fit(01) 0.60 —**▲**– ^μf,ASH(93) 0.50 0.40 —<mark>⋈</mark>—μ_{gs,EES(99)} 0.30 ^{__}
^{_}
^µgs,RefProp6(99) 0.20 $-\Delta$ - μ gs,ASH(93) 0.10 0.00 -60 -20 20 60 100 140 180 220 **Temperature (F)**

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Mark VI Model Improvements

- HFC-Suitable Two-Phase H.T. Coefs.
 - Condensing --Dobson/Chato (1994)
 - Evaporating -- Bivens/Yokozeki (1994)
 Dryout point set at 0.90, interpolate to vapor values at x=1
 - For R-410A, 25% lower condensing and 35% lower evaporating h.t. coefs than previous R-22 based correlations

Mark VI Model Improvements

- HFC-Suitable Flow Control Correlations
 - Using Refrigerant-Specific for Best Accuracy
 - R-410A Specific Cap-Tube Correlation (Rice 2001)
 - refit of Wolf et al (1995) R-410A-Specific Correlation
 - used instead of ASHRAE generalized cap-tube correlation (Wolf et al 1998)
 - latter found to be flawed due to viscosity property changes (see plot which follows)
 - R-410A Short-Tube Orifice Correlation (Payne 1999)

Capillary Tube Mass Flow Predictions with Generalized ASHRAE Model Using Different Transport Property Correlations



Cap Tube Mass Flow Predictions with Revised R-410A Specific Correlation (Rice 2001)



New HX Model Features: Refrigerant-Side

More HX Configurations

- Circuit Branching
 - Merging in Condenser Subcooled Region
 - Often done in AC Condensers
 - Region- not geometric-based merging
- Circuit Arrangements
 - 1- to N-Row Crossflow,
 - 2-to-N-Row Condenser CrossCounterflow

New HX Model Features: Air-Side

- Improved Airflow Calculation Options
 - Fixed flow for manuf. performance tables or
 - Mass flow varying with fan inlet conditions
- More Air-Side Surface Choices
 - User-specified fin geometry patterns
 - default fin geometries built-in
 - Extra fin area calculated explicitly
 - Automated comparison of fin types
- Samples of New Web Pages Follow
 - Additions highlighted

New Mark VI Indoor Coil Page

Indoor Unit Data								
Inlet Air Conditions								
Temperature: DB, °F 80 Humidity: • WB,			, °F 67 -or- () RH, % 52					
Blower Performance								
Airflow Rate: Standard, cfm 1000.	Power: Nomina Per unit	, W flow, W/Mcfm	293.0 365	or- Efficiency: O Combined blower & n	notor 0.30			
Air Handler and Duct Sizing Parameters								
Filter and Heater Sizes	• Externa	ΔP , in. water	0.15 -	or- 🔿 Duct branch diameter,	, in. 6.0			
Heat Exchanger Configuration								
Tubes ● Smooth ○ Rifled ● Cu ○ Al	ooth (AlRifled (AlOD (expanded), in.0.3325 (Nall, mils)O CuPitch, fins/in.12O CuThickness, mils10			rontal area (finned face area) of Tube spacin n Tube spacin	f coil, ft ² 3.802 ng, a , in. 1.00 ng, b , in. 0.625			
Fins ● Al ○ Cu				Number of	f rows, r <u>4</u> tubes, n <u>27</u>			
Fin Type: Smooth Corrugated Slit/Lanced Louvered Convex-Louvered Smooth Wavy Fin Pattern Geometry Fin Pattern Geometry			Number of equivalent, parallel circuits two-phase liquid 2 Refrigerant Flow Configuration: Cross Cross-Counter Cross-Parallel					
Correction Multipliers								
Refrigerant-Side:	Coil heat transfer 1.	Coil surface	area 1.0	Coil ΔP 1.0				
Air-Side:	Coil heat transfer 1.	.0 Coil surface area 1.0 Coil ΔP 1.0 System ΔP 1.			System ΔP 1.0			
Continue > Jump >> Done								

Available Fin Pattern Geometry Selections



Online Fin Geometry Diagrams Slit-Fin Pattern



New Air-Side Surface Capabilities Indoor Coil



New Air-Side Surface Capabilities Outdoor Coil



Improved Dry vs Wet Coil Corrections



Improved Airflow and Fan Power Calculation Options

- Now Based on Standard Airflow (scfm)
 - Rather than on inlet airflow (acfm) at rating conditions
- Two Options for Specifying Airflow
 - Fixed flow for manuf. performance tables
 - needs only required scfm (fixes air massflow)
 - Mass flow varying with fan inlet conditions
 - better models cooling- vs heating-mode airflow and fan power changes
 - needs scfm at reference fan inlet temperature
 - mass flows and fan powers can vary up to 10% for indoor to > 20% for outdoor units

Results for Common HP Data Set

- Cooling Design Case
 - Run with Given SH and SC Conditions
 - Untuned and Tuned
 - Indoor HX tuning only
 - heat transfer mults. of 0.70
 - refr. pressure drop mult. of 2
- Heating Rating Case
 - Run with Calculated Cooling Mode Refr. Charge
 - and Specified Short-Tube Orifice Size
 - Untuned and with AC tuned Indoor HX
- Tuning Significantly Improved Agreement
 - In Performance Results and in Sat. Temps

Cooling Mode Comparisons to Test Data

ARI DESIGN COOLING CONDITIONS (Indoor 80/67F DB/WB, Outdoor 95F)							
MEASURES	Test Data	Untuned HPDM	Indoor HX Untuned Tuned* HPDM		Indoor HX Tuned		
17				(% DIFF)	(% DIFF)		
NET CAPACITY (Btu/hr)	34600	36660	34800	5.95	0.58		
TOTAL POWER (W)	3301.5	3300.7	3276	-0.02	-0.77		
EER (Btu/W-hr)	10.48	11.11	10.63	6.01	1.43		
COMPRESSOR POWER (W)	2652.1	2654.4	2630.6	0.09	-0.81		
OD FAN POWER (W)	187.4	184.9	185.2	-1.33	-1.17		
SHR	0.76	0.777	0.766	2.24	0.79		
12.11 3.00	100	- 2. M	10 2.70	(DELTA-T)	(DELTA-T)		
SAT. SUCTION (F)	46.5	49.4	46.4	2.9	-0.1		
SAT. DISCHARGE (F)	117.7	119.2	118.1	1.5	0.4		
SUPPLY TEMP (F)	60.3	58.9	60.2	-1.4	-0.1		
* Tuned in AC Mode Only							

Heating Mode Comparisons to Test Data

ARI DESIGN HEATING CONDITIONS (Indoor 70F DB, Outdoor 47/43F DB/WB)							
MEASURES	Test Data	Untuned HPDM	Indoor HX Tuned*	Untuned HPDM	Indoor HX Tuned		
1000				(% DIFF)	(% DIFF)		
NET CAPACITY (Btu/hr)	36700	37360	36760	1.80	0.16		
TOTAL POWER (W)	3163.6	2959.4	3108.3	-6.45	-1.75		
СОР	3.4	3.7	3.47	8.82	2.06		
COMPRESSOR POWER (W)	2510.7	2286.3	2434.8	-8.94	-3.02		
OD FAN POWER (W)	203	216.7	216.6	6.75	6.70		
1 1107	1905	1.1	11	(DELTA-T)	(DELTA-T)		
SAT. SUCTION (F)	32	30.4	30.7	-1.6	-1.3		
SAT. DISCHARGE (F)	111.7	105.5	111.7 -6.2		0		
SUPPLY TEMP (F)	98.8	98.9	98.4	0.1	-0.4		
(HPDM results for specified short tube orifice size and refrigerant charge calculated from cooling mode)							
* Tuned in AC Mode Only							

Further Model Improvement and Testing -- ASHRAE 1173-TRP

- Objective
 - Wide-Range Testing of Public AC Models
 - Mild to Extreme Ambients
 - Low to High Indoor Humidity
 - Low to High Charge
 - Low to High Airflow
 - For HFC R-22 Alternatives
 - With Best Available H.T. and Charge Models
- **ORNL & Purdue Public Models**
 - To Be Improved/Tested/Validated

Mark 7 HPDM Development

- HFC Gliding Mixture Capable Version
 - For R-22 drop-in refrigerant analysis
 - With R-407C, R-404A, R-507 mixtures
- Converted to NIST RefProp 7.1
 - For Thermo Properties
- Further Updating of Refr-Side Correls Underway
 - HT and PD, Smooth and Micro-fin
- Modif. Of HX Routines to Handle Glide
- Planned Release Near End of 04

Refrigerant Property Changes - Mark 7

- Thermo Properties
 - Converted to NIST REFPROP 7.1
 - Capable of either pseudo-pure or full mixture calls
 - Pseudo-pure representation for leading HFC mixtures
 - Developed by NIST for use in cycle analysis
 - Fast execution in Mark 7 development model
 - 1 sec for pseudo, 2 sec for pure calls
 - vs 38 sec for full R-410A, 110 sec for full R-407C

Improved HT Correlations

- New Condensing Correlations
 - Thome and Cavallini (2003)
 - handles multiple flow regimes
 - Improvement over Dobson/Chato (ACRC, 1995)
- New Evaporating Correlations
 - Thome and Kattan (1998)
- New Pressure Drop Correlations
 - Kedzierski and Choi (2001)

Comparison of Condensing HT Correlations, Thome Correlation Better over Flow Regime Transitions



Comparison of Evaporation HT Coefs for Three Refrigerants, Smooth Tubes Thome (2002) / Kattan-Thome-Favrat (1998), Flow-Pattern-Based



Micro-fin HT Correlations Tested and Compared with Smooth-Tube Results

- Evaporating
 - Cavallini (1999)
- Condensing
 - Cavallini (2000)
- For R-410A, R-407C, and R-22
 - at representative AC conditions

Evaporation HT Multipliers, Microfin vs Smooth Tubes for Three Refrigerants Microfin (Cavallini/ Del Col, 1999) / Smooth (Thome 2002)



OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY



OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY