A Composite Insulation with Twice the R-value of Existing Technologies

Presented by:

André Desjarlais
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

December 4, 2016
Windows and Building Envelope Research and Development:
Roadmap for Emerging Technologies
February 2014
Windows and Building Envelope R&D ET roadmap

Residential Buildings
• ~1600 TBtu staged energy savings by 2030

Commercial Buildings
• ~800 TBtu staged energy savings by 2030
Develop R12/inch insulation
Heat transfer in insulation materials

Reduced pore size

Knudsen Effect

Lower gas pressure

0.004 W/mK ≈ R40
New technology being developed based on Vacuum Insulation Panels (VIPs)

• Modified Atmosphere Insulation (MAI) is a lower cost variant of VIPs.
• VIPs provide a significantly higher R-value than current insulations materials.
• VIPs usually comprise of a nano-/micro-porous core (e.g., fumed silica) encapsulated in an air and vapor impermeable barrier film and evacuated (~ 5 mbar).
Modified atmosphere insulation

- Lower-cost version of VIPs
  - $0.13/ft^2/R vs. $0.25/ft^2/R
- Cost: 20% silica core, 5% barrier film, 75% processing/overhead.
  - MAI production process has ~50% fewer steps than VIP.
- VIPs need to be evacuated to very low pressure and sealed under vacuum
  - Time-consuming process needing specialized equipment.
- Vacuum in MAI panels is mainly created by condensation of steam (which replaces air)
  - Sealed at atmospheric pressure using standard equipment at much faster rate.
FY14 scoping study

- Measurements of R-value at different temperatures and internal pressure conditions.
- Cost analysis projecting $0.12/ft^2/R
  - $4.2/ft^2 for a 1 inch MAI panel assuming R35/inch
Thermal performance tests

- Even with complete loss of vacuum, MAI panels expected to have higher R/inch than conventional insulation materials.
R25 (R12 per inch) polyisocyanurate composite insulation material

Project Goal:
Develop a 2-inch thick polyiso board insulation with modified atmosphere insulation (MAI) cores that have an R-value of 25 (R12/inch) and a cost premium of no more than $0.30 per square foot with a simple payback of ten years.

- Preliminary analysis indicates, 2 inches of R12/inch insulation has a primary energy-saving potential of more than 1320 TBTUs (1.32 quads).

Target Market/Audience:
Primarily retrofits of residential walls and commercial roofs, but also applicable to new buildings.
Year 1 progress and accomplishments

Laboratory-scale experiments: Foam encapsulation of MAI panels

- MAI panels with metallized and all-polymer barrier films were tested.
  - Polymer barriers significantly reduce thermal bridging around MAI panels.
- Foam encapsulation of MAI panels was satisfactory, except one test.
- MAI panels withstood the exothermic foam expansion.
  - No measurable dimensional changes to MAI panels.
  - Barrier surface temperature rise (<90°C) less than damage threshold (110°C).
Year 1 progress and accomplishments

Design of MAI-Polyiso Composite Boards Based on Thermal Modeling

- First-generation 4’ x 8’ composite design
- 4x3 array of MAI panels (22.75” x 14.7”)
  - 1 inch gaps for mechanical fasteners
  - 87% MAI coverage
- Estimated R-value of the 2-inch board: 25.5 hr-ft²-°F/Btu (R12.7/inch)

Simulated heat flows through a MAI-foam composite board.
Year 1 progress and accomplishments

- July 2015: Three first-generation composites produced in a manufacturing plant. *No major changes needed to the assembly line; critical consideration with respect to cost premium of new composite insulation.*

MAI panels attached to high-density (HD) foam substrate

MAI-HD board fed through foaming line

Finished composite insulation boards
Year 1 progress and accomplishments

- September 2015: Guarded hot box tests (ASTM C1363) yielded R21.6 for the 2-inch composites ($R_{10.8/\text{inch}}$).
  - Year 1 Go/No-Go target: R10/inch
- Autopsy of one board performed after the hot box test.
  - No discernible changes in MAI shape and dimensions.
  - One area had poor foam fill, with implications on measured R-value.
Year 2 progress and accomplishments

- Second generation composites: Higher MAI coverage to achieve R12/inch.
  - 89.8 - 91.3% vs. 86.9% in FY15
- Modeling indicates increases in overall R-values of 1.3 – 2.1 hr-ft²-°F/Btu
  - $\Delta R$/inch of 0.7-1.1

Skipping alternate studs on walls

Eliminating foam gaps along the width
Year 2 progress and accomplishments

Second-generation composite production (March 3, 2016)

- Potential online quality control using IR imaging
- Thermal diffusivity \((k/\rho c_p)\): Damaged MAI >> Intact MAI
  - Cools the ‘warm’ spray-applied foam faster
Hot box tests of second-generation composites

- Three different boards with MAI coverages of 90, 91, and 94%
  - FY15 boards had 87% MAI coverage
- Pairs of 4’x8’ boards tested per ASTM C1363
- Each pair exhibited at least one damaged MAI panel
Hot box tests of second-generation composites

- Measured R-values
  - 89.8%: R23.12 (R11.6/inch)
  - 91.3%: R22.88 (R11.4/inch)
  - 93.8%: R23.72 (R11.9/inch)
- Numerical modeling used to predict loss of R-value with damaged MAI panels
- Assuming 5% degradation, with all intact MAI panels, the R-values of 12-12.5/inch can be expected.
Next steps

• Detailed techno-economic analysis and cost optimization
• Field-testing of thermal performance of composite boards in ORNL’s natural exposure test (NET) facilities
• Estimate market opportunity
• Evaluate cost of automating MAI and foaming process
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