Critical Spin Fluctuation Mechanism for the Spin Hall Effect
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We propose mechanisms for the spin Hall effect in metallic systems arising from the coupling between conduction electrons and local magnetic moments that are dynamically fluctuating. Both a side-jump-type mechanism and a skew-scattering-type mechanism are considered. In either case, dynamical spin fluctuation gives rise to a nontrivial temperature dependence in the spin Hall conductivity. This leads to the enhancement in the spin Hall conductivity at nonzero temperatures near the ferromagnetic instability. The proposed mechanisms could be observed in 4d or 5d metallic compounds.
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Introduction.—The spin Hall (SH) effect is the generation of spin current along the transverse direction by an applied electric field [1,2]. Because it allows us to manipulate magnetic quanta, i.e., spins, without applying a magnetic field, this would become a key component in creating efficient spintronic devices. By combining the SH effect and its reciprocal effect, the inverse SH effect [3], a variety of phenomena have been demonstrated (for recent review, see Refs. [4,5]). As in the anomalous Hall effect [6], the relativistic spin-orbit coupling (SOC) plays the fundamental role for the SH effect, and both intrinsic mechanisms [7,8] and extrinsic mechanisms [9–12] have been proposed. Whereas many theoretical studies considered static disorder or impurities at zero temperature, the effect of nonzero temperature in the SH effect has been addressed using phenomenological electron-phonon coupling [13,14] or first-principle scattering approach [15].

At present, the intensity of the SH effect is too weak for practical applications [16]. One of the pathways to enhance the spin-charge conversion efficiency or the SH angle \( \Theta_{SH} = \sigma_{SH}/\sigma_c \), where \( \sigma_{SH(c)} \) corresponds to the SH (charge) conductivity, is to reduce the charge conductivity \( \sigma_c \). For example, Ref. [17] proposed to use 5d transition-metal oxides, IrO\(_2\), where the strong SOC comes from Ir, rather than metallic materials. The SH effect in the surface state of topological insulators with spin-momentum locking has been also studied [18]. More recently, Jiao et al. reported the significant enhancement in SH effect in metallic glasses at finite temperatures [19]. Because such enhancement is not expected in crystalline systems [20], it was suggested that local structural fluctuations [13,21] are responsible for this effect, similar to the phonon skew-scattering mechanism. Thus, the fluctuations of lattice or some other degrees of freedom at finite temperatures could provide a route to improve the efficiency of the SH effect.

For magnetic systems, the effect of finite temperatures has been studied for the anomalous Hall effect in terms of skew scattering [22] and resonant skew scattering [23–25]. Theories for the resonant skew scattering were further developed by considering strong quantum spin fluctuations for systems with the time-reversal symmetry (TRS), therefore for the SH effect rather than the anomalous Hall effect [26–28]. Later, the relation between the anomalous Hall effect below the ferromagnetic transition temperature \( T_C \) and the SH effect above \( T_C \) was investigated by including nonlocal magnetic correlations in Kondo’s model [29,30]. A recent investigation on Fe\(_{1-x}\)Pt\(_x\) alloys also reported the enhancement in the SH effect near \( T_C \) [31]. So far, the magnetic fluctuation at finite temperatures has been theoretically treated on a single-site level [26–28] or using static approximations [22–25,29]. When localized moments have long-range dynamical correlations near a magnetic instability, it is required to go beyond such a treatment (e.g., see Refs. [32–35]). This could open new pathways for novel spintronics.

In this paper, we address the effect of such magnetic fluctuations onto the SH effect by calculating the SH conductivity of a model system in which conduction electrons are interacting with dynamically fluctuating local magnetic moments. We start from defining our model Hamiltonian and then identify two different mechanisms for the SH effect. The similarity and dissimilarity with the SH effect arising from impurity potential scattering or phonon scattering are discussed. The SH conductivity is computed using the Matsubara formalism by combining the self-consistent renormalization theory [34]. We show that the SH conductivity is enhanced at low temperatures when
from the Fermi level \( HK \) (local moments). Here, \( n \) point at the system is in close vicinity to the ferromagnetic critical point at \( T = 0 \). Possible realization of this effect in 4d or 5d metallic compounds is discussed.

Model and formalism.—To be specific, we consider the \( s-d \) or \( s-f \) Hamiltonian proposed by Kondo [22,36], \( H = H_0 + H_K \) with \( H_0 = \sum_{k,\nu} \epsilon_k a_{k\nu}^{\dagger} a_{k\nu} \) and

\[
H_K = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu\nu'} \sum_{k,k'} |e^{i(k'-k)\cdot R_n}|a^\dagger_{k\nu} a_{k'\nu'} \\
\times \left[ 2(J_n \cdot s_{\nu\nu'}) \left( F_0 + 2F_1(k \cdot k') \right) + iF_2J_n \cdot (k' \times k) \\
+ iF_3 \left( J_n \cdot s_{\nu\nu'} \right) \left( J_n \cdot (k' \times k) \right) + (J_n \cdot (k' \times k)) \left( J_n \cdot s_{\nu\nu'} \right) \\
\frac{2}{3}(J_n \cdot J_n) (s_{\nu\nu'} \cdot (k' \times k)) \right].
\]

Here, \( a_{k\nu}^{\dagger} \) is the annihilation (creation) operator of a conduction electron with momentum \( k \) and spin \( \nu \), \( \epsilon_k = (\hbar^2 k^2)/2m - \mu \) is the dispersion relation measured from the Fermi level \( \mu \) with the carrier effective mass \( m \), \( s_{\nu\nu'} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\nu\nu'} \) is the conduction electron spin with \( \sigma \) the Pauli matrices, and \( N(N_m) \) is the total number of lattice sites (local moments). \( J_n \) is the local spin moment at position \( R_n \), when the SOC is weaker than the crystal field splitting and could be treated as a perturbation, or the local total angular momentum, when the SOC is strong so that the total angular momentum is a constant of motion. Parameters \( F_i \) are related to \( F_i \) defined in Ref. [22] as discussed in the Supplemental Material [36]. In this work, we focus on three-dimensional systems. While the current analysis could be applied to other dimensions, lower-dimensional systems require more careful treatments.

In Eq. (1), \( F_{0,1} \) terms correspond to the standard \( s-d \) or \( s-f \) exchange interaction, acting as the spin-dependent potential scattering as schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). \( F_{2,3} \) terms represent the exchange of angular momentum between a conduction electron and a local moment. These terms are odd (linear or cubic) order in \( J_n \) and \( s \) and induce the electron deflection depending on the direction of \( J_n \) or \( s \) as depicted in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). As discussed below, the \( F_2 \) term and the \( F_3 \) term, respectively, generate the side-jump- and the skew-scattering-type contributions to the SH conductivity.

In order to see the different types of contributions, we analyze the velocity operator, from which the charge current and the spin current operators are defined. Importantly, a side-jump-type contribution to the SH effect arises from the anomalous velocity as in the conventional SH effect. The velocity operator is defined by \( \mathbf{v} = (i/\hbar)[H, \mathbf{r}] \). Among various terms, lowest order contributions to the spin Hall conductivity come from

\[
v = \sum_k \frac{\hbar k}{m} a_{k\nu}^{\dagger} a_{k\nu} - \frac{i}{\hbar N} \sum_{n,\nu,\nu'} \sum_{k,k'} e^{i(k'-k)\cdot R_n} a_{k\nu}^{\dagger} a_{k'\nu'} \\
\times \{ F_2J_n + 2F_3(J_n \cdot s_{\nu\nu'})J_n \} \times (k' - k) a_{k'\nu'} \cdot a_{k\nu}.
\]

Here, a term involving \( F_1 \) is neglected because it is proportional to \( (k + k') \) and does not contribute to \( \sigma_{SH} \) at the lowest order. The second terms involving \( F_{2,3} \) are the anomalous velocity. The charge current and the spin current are then given by using the velocity operator as \( j^c = -ev \) and \( j^s = -e(1/N) \sum_k s_{\nu\nu'} a_{k\nu}^{\dagger} a_{k\nu} \), respectively. Note that \( j^c \) and \( j^s \) have the same dimension.

Now, we consider the side-jump-type mechanism arising from the anomalous velocity in Eq. (2) combined with the spin-dependent potential scattering \( F_{0,1} \) in Eq. (1). At this moment, one could notice some analogy between the current model and the previous ones utilizing the potential scattering \( V_n \) [10–12] as \( F_{0,1}J_n \leftrightarrow V_n \) and \( F_2J_n \leftrightarrow \lambda^2 V_n s \); i.e., the spin \( s \) dependence is switched from the anomalous velocity to the scattering term. Therefore, the second-order processes involving \( F_{0,1} \) and \( F_2 \) terms could generate the side-jump-type contribution to the SH effect. The diagramatic representation of this side-jump-type contribution to the SH conductivity is presented in Fig. 2. Note that this contribution is \( O(F_{0,1}F_2(J_n J_n')) \). If the \( F_3 \) term in the anomalous velocity is used, it would become \( O(F_{0,1}F_3(J_n J_n')) \), odd order in the local moment. Such a contribution

FIG. 2. Diagrammatic representation for the side-jump contribution. Solid (wavy) lines are the electron Green’s functions (the spin fluctuation propagators). Squares (circles) are the spin (charge) current vertices, with filled symbols representing the velocity correction with \( F_2 \), i.e., side jump. Filled triangles are the interaction vertices with \( F_{0,1} \).
vanishes when the local moments have the TRS in a paramagnetic phase above magnetic transition temperature.

How about the skew-scattering-type contribution? Unlike the side-jump-type contribution, the \( F_2 \) does not contribute to \( \sigma_{SH} \) arising from the third-order perturbation processes combined with \( F_{0,1} \) terms. This is because such processes are \( O(F_{0,1}^2 F_2(I_n J_n J_n')) \) and vanish by the TRS in the local moments. In fact, the skew-scattering-type contribution arises from the third-order processes involving \( F_{0,1} \) and \( F_3 \) terms as \( O(F_{0,1}^2 F_3(I_n J_n J_n')) \). Therefore, such skew-scattering-type contributions are possible without introducing unharmonic (third-order) magnetic correlations, while it is second order in the spin fluctuation propagator \( O(D^2) \) as discussed below. This contrasts with the phonon skew scattering, where unharmonic phonon interactions are essential [13].

**Matsubara formalism and spin fluctuation.**—In what follows, we use the Matsubara formalism to compute the SH conductivity given by

\[
\sigma_{SH}(i\Omega_n) = \frac{i}{i\Omega_n V} \int_0^{1/T} d\tau e^{i\Omega_n \tau} \langle T_\tau f_\delta(\tau)f_\delta(0) \rangle,
\]

where \( \Omega_n \) is the bosonic Matsubara frequency, and \( V \) is the volume of the system. At the end of the analysis, \( \Omega_n \) is analytically continued to real frequency as \( \Omega_n \rightarrow \Omega + i0^+ \). We will then consider the dc limit, \( \Omega \rightarrow 0 \), to obtain \( \sigma_{SH} \).

This formalism allows one to treat conduction electrons coupled with dynamically fluctuating local moments \( J_n \). To describe the latter, we consider a generic Gaussian action given by

\[
\mathcal{A}_{\text{Gauss}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q, l} D^{-1}_q (i\omega_l) J_q (i\omega_l) J_{-q} (-i\omega_l) \quad \text{with} \quad D^{-1}_q (i\omega_l) = \delta + Aq^2 + |\omega_l|/\Gamma_q.
\]

Here, \( a_0 = 2\pi T \) is the bosonic Matsubara frequency, and \( A \) is introduced as a constant so that \( Aq^2 \) has the unit of energy. \( \delta \) is the distance from a ferromagnetically ordered state and is related to the magnetic correlation length as \( \xi \propto \delta^{-1} \). \( J_q (i\omega_l) \) is a space and imaginary-time \( \tau \) Fourier transform of \( J_n (\tau) \), where we made the \( \tau \) dependence explicit. In principle, \( \delta \) depends on temperature and is determined by solving self-consistent equations for a full model including non-Gaussian terms [32–35,37]. \( \Gamma_q \) represents the momentum-dependent damping. In clean metals close to the ferromagnetic instability, \( \Gamma_q = \Gamma_g \). When elastic scattering exists due to impurities or disorders, \( q \) has a small cutoff \( q_c \sim \xi^{-1} = 1/v_F \tau_c \) with \( \xi \) being the mean free path of conduction electrons, \( v_F = \hbar k_F/m \) the Fermi velocity, and \( \tau_c \) the carrier lifetime. Therefore, the damping term at \( q < q_c \) has to be replaced by \( \Gamma_q \). [38]. With this propagator \( D \), the spatial and temporal correlation of \( J_n \) is given by

\[
\langle T_\tau J_n (\tau) J_{-n'} (0) \rangle = \langle T/N \rangle \sum_{q, l} e^{-i\omega_l \tau + iq \cdot (R_{-n'} - R_n')} D_q (i\omega_l).
\]

Theoretical analyses based on this model have been successful to explain many experimental results on itinerant magnets [34].

**FIG. 3.** Diagrammatic representation for the skew-scattering contribution. Filled pentagons are the interaction vertices with \( F_3 \). The definitions of the other symbols or lines are the same as in Fig. 2.

Because of the phase factor \( e^{iq \cdot (R_n - R_{-n'})} \), the ferromagnetic fluctuation is essential for the SH effect. When the spin fluctuation has characteristic momentum \( Q \neq 0 \), \( e^{iQ \cdot (R_n - R_{-n'})} \) has destructive effects.

**Spin-Hall conductivity.**—With the above preparations, now we proceed to examine the SH conductivity. Based on the diagrammatic representations in Figs. 2 and 3, \( \sigma_{SH} \) is expressed in terms of electron Green’s function \( G \) and the propagator of local magnetic moments \( D \). The full expression is presented in Ref. [36].

We carry out the Matsubara summations, the energy integrals, and the momentum summations as detailed in Ref. [36] to find

\[
\sigma_{SH, \text{side jump}} \approx \frac{2e^2 n_m^2 m}{m^2} \tau_c \langle T, \delta \rangle \left( \frac{1}{3} F_0 k_F^2 - \frac{2}{5} F_1 k_F^2 \right) F_2 N_F
\]

for the side-jump contribution and

\[
\sigma_{SH, \text{skew scat}} \approx \frac{4e^2 \hbar n_m^3 m^2}{m^2} \tau_c^2 P(T, \delta) (F_0 + F_1 k_F^2) k_F^4 \frac{2k_F^4}{15} N_F
\]

for the skew-scattering contribution. Here, \( n_m = N_m/N \) is the concentration of local moments, and \( N_F = mk_F/2\pi^2 \hbar^2 \) is the electron density of states per spin at the Fermi level. The function \( \langle T, \delta \rangle \) defined in Ref. [36] is the direct consequence of the coupling between conduction electrons and the dynamical spin fluctuation. There are a number of limiting cases where the analytic form of \( \langle T, \delta \rangle \) is available. For clean systems (\( \Gamma_q = \Gamma_q \), i.e., no momentum cutoff) at low temperatures, where \( \delta + A(aT/\hbar v_F)^2 \ll \hbar v_F/\Gamma \) is satisfied, \( \langle T, \delta \rangle \approx (1/8\pi \delta)(aT/\hbar v_F)^3 \) with \( a \) being the lattice constant. When the system is on the quantum critical point for the ferromagnetic ordering, \( \delta \) is scaled as \( \delta \propto T^{3/3} \) [34]. Thus, \( \langle T, \delta \rangle \propto T^{5/3} \) is expected.

For clean systems at high temperatures, where \( \delta + A(aT/\hbar v_F)^2 \gg \hbar v_F/\Gamma \) is satisfied, \( \langle T, \delta \rangle \approx ([\hbar v_F]/(4\pi^2 \Gamma^2))[aT/\hbar v_F]^3 \). At such high temperatures, \( \delta \) is linearly dependent on \( T \) [34,39]. Therefore, one expects \( \langle T, \delta \rangle \propto T \). Similar analyses are possible for dirty systems, where \( \Gamma_q \) has a small momentum cutoff. In this case, one expects \( \langle T, \delta \rangle \propto T \) at both low temperatures and high temperatures (see Ref. [36] for details).
In addition to $I(T, \delta)$, the temperature dependence of $\sigma_{SH}$ is induced by the carrier lifetime $\tau_c$. This quantity comes from several different contributions as

$$\tau_c^{-1} = \tau_{sf}^{-1} + \tau_{ee}^{-1} + \tau_{ep}^{-1} + \tau_{dis}^{-1} + \ldots$$

(6)

Here, $\tau_{sf}^{-1}$ is from the scattering due to the spin fluctuation. Using $H_K$ and the same level of approximation, $\tau_{sf}^{-1}$ is given by $\tau_{sf}^{-1} \approx \left(2n_{\uparrow}\right)^2/|H(I(T, \delta))(F_0 + 2F_1k_F^2)^2$ [36]. $\tau_{ee}^{-1}$ and $I(T, \delta)$ have the same T dependence as schematically shown in Fig. 4(a). $\tau_{ep}$ and $\tau_{dis}$ are from the electron-electron interactions and the electron-phonon interactions, respectively. Their leading T dependence is given by $\tau_{dis}^{-1} \approx \tau_{dis,0}^{-1}(T/T_F)^5$ [40] and $\tau_{ep}^{-1} \approx \tau_{ep,0}^{-1}(T/T_D)^{3/2}$ [41,42], where $T_F(D)$ is the Fermi (Debye) temperature. $\tau_{dis}$ is from the disorder effects, and its T dependence is expected to be small. Figure 4(b) summarizes the T dependence of $\tau_{dis,ee,ep}$.

The overall T dependence of $\sigma_{SH}$ is determined by the combination of $I(T, \delta)$ and $\tau_c$. The strong enhancement is thus expected at the ferromagnetic critical point, where the magnetic correlation length $\xi \propto \delta^{-1/2}$ diverges as $T^{-2/3}$. This results in $\tau_{sf}^{-1}$ and hence the electrical resistivity $\sigma^{-1}$ scaled as $T^{5/3}$ [39]. Since $\tau_{sf}^{-1} \propto I(T, \delta)$, $\sigma_{SH,max}$ and $\sigma_{skew}$ are expected to be maximized when the spin fluctuation dominates $\tau_c$ as

$$\sigma_{side \; jump, \; max} \approx \frac{e^2 \hbar F_2 F_3^2}{m} N_F$$

and

$$\sigma_{skew \; scat, \; max} \approx \frac{e^2 \hbar^3}{m^2 n_m} \frac{2F_3 F_4}{15F_0} N_F$$

(7)

(8)

respectively, at low but nonzero temperature $T_{max}$. This $T_{max}$ is approximately given by $T_F(5\tau_{ee,0}/\tau_{dis})^{1/2}$ when $T_F < T_D$ or $T_D(\tau_{ep,0}/\tau_{dis})^{1/5}$ when $T_F > T_D$. As the temperature is lowered to zero, $\sigma_{SH}$ goes to zero as $\sigma_{side \; jump} \propto \tau_{dis} I(T, \delta) \propto T^{5/3}$ and $\sigma_{skew \; scat} \propto \tau_{dis} I(T, \delta) \propto T^{10/3}$ because of the nonzero $\tau_{dis}$, and the residual SH conductivity is due to disorders or impurities. At higher temperatures, the carrier lifetime is suppressed by the electron-electron or electron-phonon interaction, and therefore $\sigma_{SH}$ is decreased. The overall T dependence of $\sigma_{skew \; scat}$ is schematically shown in Fig. 4(c).

In dirty systems, $\Gamma_q$ involves a small cutoff momentum. Because $\tau_{dis}$ is dominant, we expect $\sigma_{side \; jump} \propto T$ and $\sigma_{skew \; scat} \propto T^2$ at low temperatures as discussed in Ref. [36]. When the temperature is increased above $T \sim \min\{T_F, T_D\}$, $\sigma_{SH}$ decreases with T because $\tau_c$ is suppressed. Thus, $\sigma_{SH}$ is expected to be maximized at around $T_{max}$ as discussed for clean systems, yet the maximum value depends explicitly on $\tau_c$s. In fact, the enhancement in $\tau_{ee,ep}^{-1}$ with increasing T always induces a momentum cutoff in the damping term $\Gamma_q$ at high temperatures. Therefore, we expect that clean systems and dirty systems behave similarly at high temperatures, i.e., $\sigma_{side \; jump} \propto \tau_c T$ and $\sigma_{skew \; scat} \propto T^2$.

Discussion.—How realistic is the current spin fluctuation mechanism? Here, we provide rough estimations of $\sigma_{side \; jump} \propto \tau_{sf} T$ and $\sigma_{skew \; scat} \propto T^2$. According to a free electron model, $F_0$ is expected to be $\sim 0.1$ eV for both transition metal and actinide compounds [43]. (In Ref. [43], $J_q$, corresponding to $F_0$ in this study, was estimated to be $0.7 \times 10^{-12}$ erg for the s-d interaction in Mn and $2.5 \times 10^{-13}$ erg for the s-f interaction in Gd.) Since $F_{2,3}k_F^2$ involves the integral of higher-order spherical Bessel functions, $j_{1,3}$, i.e., $p$-wave scattering, than $F_0$ (see, i.e., $s$-wave scattering [22], $F_{2,3}k_F^2$ would be an order (two orders) of magnitude smaller than $F_0$. Therefore, taking a rough estimation $F_{2,3}k_F^2 \sim 0.01$ eV, $F_{2,3}k_F^2 \sim 0.001$ eV and typical values of $k_F \pi \sim 10^{-4}$ m$^{-1}$ and $\left[(h^2k_F^2)/2m\right] = \mu \sim 10$ eV [44] for s electrons in metallic compounds, optimistic estimations are $\sigma_{side \; jump, \; max} \sim 10^3$ $\Omega^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{skew \; scat, \; max} \sim 10^5$ $\Omega^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$. The difference in magnitude between $\sigma_{side \; jump, \; max}$ and $\sigma_{skew \; scat, \; max}$ comes from the small factor $F_2/F_0$ in $\sigma_{side \; jump}$ and the large factor $\mu/F_0 = \sigma_{skew}$ in $\sigma_{skew \; scat}$. Thus, $\sigma_{skew \; scat}$ could be comparable to the largest $\sigma_{SH}$ reported so far [16].

Could there be systems that show the SH effect by the proposed mechanisms? The crucial ingredients are the coupling between conduction electrons and localized but not ordered magnetic moments. Suitable candidate materials would be $4d$ or $5d$ metallic compounds with partially filled $d$ shells, such as Ir, Pt, W, and Re. Because of the large SOC than $3d$ compounds, the intrinsic mechanism could contribute to the SH effect. One route to enhance $\sigma_{SH}$
further is doping with magnetic 3d transition metal elements to enhance the ferromagnetic spin fluctuation. It would be possible to distinguish between the intrinsic mechanism and the extrinsic mechanisms discussed in this work by comparing crystalline samples and disordered samples such as metallic glasses. In fact, metallic glasses might be a good choice in trying to enhance the SH angle \( \Theta_{SH} \). Since the carrier lifetime in metallic glasses is dominated by the structure factor, the temperature dependence of \( \tau_c \sim \tau_{dis} \) is small [45,46]. Using the same formalism, the longitudinal charge conductivity is given by \( \sigma_c = 2e^2\tau_c k_F^2/3\pi m^2 \). Therefore, \( \Theta_{SH} = \sigma_{SH}/\sigma_c \) is more sensitive to the spin fluctuation contribution than \( \sigma_{SH} \) itself. Since \( \sigma_{SH}^{\text{skew scat}} \), is dominant, the spin fluctuation contribution \( I(T, \delta) \) could be extracted from \( \sigma_{SH}/\sigma_c \). Recently, Ou et al. reported very large \( \Theta_{SH} > 0.34 \) in Fe\(_x\)Pt\(_{1-x}\) alloys near \( T_C \) [31]. While the detailed analyses remain to be carried out, with the typical conductivity in their sample \( \sigma_c \sim 10^6 \, \Omega^{-1} \, \text{m}^{-1} \) and our theoretical \( \sigma_{SH}^{\text{max}} \sim 10^5 \, \Omega^{-1} \, \text{m}^{-1} \), \( \Theta_{SH} \) is estimated to be \( \sim 0.1 \), that is comparable to this report.

To summarize, we investigated the effect of fluctuating magnetic moments on the spin Hall effect in metallic systems. We employed the microscopic model developed by Kondo for the coupling between conduction electrons and localized moments [22] and analyzed the fluctuation of local moments using the self-consistent renormalization theory by Moriya [34]. As in the conventional spin Hall effect due to the impurity scattering, a side-jump-type mechanism and a skew-scattering-type mechanism appear. Because of the dynamical spin fluctuation, the spin Hall conductivity has a nontrivial temperature dependence, leading to the enhancement at nonzero temperatures near the ferromagnetic instability. The skew scattering mechanism proposed could generate a sizable spin Hall effect.
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